



An Essay Analyzing the Existence of GOD using the Principles of Aristotle's *Organon* as modified by the works of others to the present time in Philosophy, Logic, and Mathematics.

**This is the final version
of this book**

September 15, 2021

David J Pristash

12124 Parkview Rd.

Brecksville Ohio 44141

Cell 216 272 4583

The cover picture was constructed with God shown as creating the universe, using the art concept discovered by CG Jung and described in his book, *The Archetypes and the Collective Unconscious*. After page 356, there is a series of black and white images. Many of which are similar to the one I created here, and they are called mandalas.

Within this image, are a number of symbolic items: A background of stars representing the universe, God giving us life; the serpent waiting to deceive us; and four circles in the centered box, each with the essence of a man and a woman. The circle represents our collective soul and four cycles of social time, identified by the Romans as a Saeculum (four generations of around 20 years each). The Saeculum is created here by the spinning of the Asian *Yin and Yang* concept and as observed in our minds by CG Jung with his archetypes. This occurs as the male (blue) presence dominates the female (red) at the top of the circle. Proceeding clockwise to the first generation of the new Saeculum, this rotation changes their orientation; they are now side by side, giving the female presence more weight. Continuing further to the second generation, the female presence dominates (now on top) and the male presence is subordinated. The cycle continues into the third generation, where they are again side by side, but the male presence is becoming dominant again. We proceed back to the top into the fourth generation of the Saeculum, where we began with the male presence dominant. Over the four cycles, everything evens out, and then we start over with a new Saeculum.

The Saeculum is contained in the box with four openings. The sides of the box represent, on the bottom, red for fire on the right, blue for water on the left, green for earth, and blue for air on the top, comprising the four elements of the Greeks: Water, Air, Earth, and Fire. The four doors in the box represent our life and choices we must make after our God gave us life and free will. At the top, we have God giving us life through the cyan door. At the bottom, the serpent is trying to steal our soul as it enters through the red door, where we end up if we follow it. At the right is the blue door, purgatory, where we flounder in the water until we make the final choice of following God or Satan. At the left is the green door for the garden of Heaven.

The next part of this image is the Tree of Life in the background and the two colored rings. The inner ring has four repeating colors that represent the repeating Yin and Yang cycle just described, each of about 20 to 25 years in duration, making the Saeculum of about 80 to 90 years (a variable). The outer ring has twelve colors representing the twelve (in my theory) fundamental fields or forces in our universe, which are the: Male field, Female field, The Human soul, Archimedes constant (π), Euler's number (e), The Elementary charge (proton and electron of equal values but opposite charge), Planck's constant (h), The Speed of Light (C), Newton's constant (gravity), The Electromagnetic force (photons), the nuclear Strong force and the Weak force (which combined hold the atom together). These items are the fundamental things that allow our universe to exist; they are God's creation.

This image is representative of many things and is not to be confused with actual science. This is a metaphysics representation.

Contents

Forward	11
Introduction	21
Definitions of terms used in this paper are in alphabetical order by category	25
Big Bang-M:	25
Big Bang-G:	25
Blueprint-O:	25
Blueprint-M:	25
Blueprint-U:	26
Blueprint-L:	26
Blueprint-G	27
DNA.....	28
Darwinism-O:	29
Darwinism-M:	29
Entropy:	29
Evolution-O:	30
Evolution-G:	30
Fine-Tuned Universe-G:	30
Fine-Tuned Universe-M:	30
First Life:	31
GOD-O:	31
GOD-M:	31
GOD-I:	31
GOD-C:	31
GOD-N:	31
Gene stability-G:	32
Gene Stability-D:	32
Heterotrophic theory:	32
Human:	32
Human Brain:	33
Life-O:	33
Life-M:	33

Random:.....	33
Self-awareness:.....	34
Sensory input vision:	34
Sensory input hearing:	35
Sensory input touch:.....	35
Sensory input orientation:	35
Sensory input body:	36
Sensory input smell:	36
Sensory input taste:	36
Soul-O:.....	36
Soul-M:	37
Modifications to GOD-O and Darwin-O	39
The Judeo-Christian GOD	39
The alternative to God.....	40
The List of Problems.....	43
The before problem	43
The hard problem.....	43
The collective-mind problem	43
The fine-tuned universe problem.....	44
The hard problem of gravity	45
Definitions of some of the words used in logic	47
Definitions of some uncommon words.....	49
Negative Entropy.....	49
Quantum Brain	49
Synchronicity	50
Collective unconscious.....	50
Definitions of various kinds of Physics.....	53
1687 Newtonian Physics:	53
1900 to 1920s Einsteinian Physics:	53
1920s Quantum Physics:.....	54
1920s Quantum foam:.....	54
1950s Big Bang theory:.....	54
Discussion on Quantum Mechanics.....	55
Discussion on Gene Stability	57

Discussion on Psychology	59
The Argument.....	63
Darwin-M.....	64
God-M.....	67
Discussion of the two alternatives	71
There is no GOD	71
There is a GOD	72
Comparison of the Scores	73
Rebuttals to There Not Being a God.....	75
Conclusion	77
Final comments.....	81
Future Considerations.....	83
Bibliography	85
Government.....	85
Science.....	87
Philosophy.....	89
Artistic examples	93

Forward

This book is supplemental to my previous work, *An Essay on Moral Philosophy in Western Civilization*, which I began in early 2018 and finished at the end of 2019. In that work, the first three chapters concerned how science could be used to show that, with merely one assumption, much of the Judeo-Christian Genesis could be technically true. That assumption was that GOD was talking to a primitive people who were only just starting to use language and simple math, some 5,000 to 6,000 years ago. With no knowledge of physics and chemistry, how could they grasp GOD's explanation of the universe and Earth 13.9 billion years before? Why GOD picked the people in the Middle East to start their education process (Genesis contains a lot of valuable information) is unknown, but it does seem that there were other attempts at about the same time in what would become India and China.

This book begins where the previous book closes, as much information was found over the last two years that meshed well with my previous research. Some of that information appeared when my first book was already in edit, and it seemed more prudent to begin a new, shorter work to prove the existence of GOD, His creation of the universe, and His existence today.

The missing information came from quantum mechanics, which provided the right means to delve further in order to ensure that this was not a dead end. Although the actual math is daunting, many of the principles are not, so that I will be suggesting some changes to quantum mechanics that had heretofore been avoided. In particular, in his 1944 book, *What is life?*, Erwin Schrodinger comes very close to what I think may be the answer to the Hard Problem (defined later). Unfortunately, he died at 74 in 1961, and probably never learned the ramifications of the work of James Watson and Francis Crick who discovered the double helix, which first gave us the clues to the extreme complexity of life in the 1950s. That discovery has come a long way to making us capable of disproving the now-prevailing theory of Darwin and his famous book, *On the Origin of Species*, of survival of the

fittest, first published in 1859. However, between 1890 and 1950, Darwin's theory of survival of the fittest by random changes had become the accepted scientific view, making GOD no longer part of the equation. One of the key events was the Scopes Monkey Trial, a staged event in Dalton, Tennessee, in July, 1925, that pitted William Jennings Bryan for the prosecution against Clarence Darrow for the defendant John T. Scopes, a high school teacher accused of teaching God's view of evolution in place of Darwin's view of natural selection. God was defeated and Darwin won.

Couple that with the works of Karl Marx and his *Communist Manifesto* in 1848 and, later, with Friedrich Engels' *Das Kapital* in 1867 (I have read all three volumes), which also denied the existence of GOD, and a movement was born to use science along with economics to replace GOD, which was heavily influential in creating changes in society that led to World War I and the start of the Progressive movement in the United States. Now whoever wanted the ultimate power had nothing to stop them. GOD was dead, and evil men and women could now do whatever they wanted without the fear of the wrath of GOD – or so they thought.

During the first two-thirds of the twentieth century, somewhere around 150,000,000 people died, mostly in the forced conversion of Russia and China to Marxism, and in Germany, to creating fascism as the counter to Russia's Marxist movement that was spreading to Germany. The rise of Hitler in Germany was a direct result of the Marxist-driven elimination of GOD, which then unleashed all the dark forces that had been suppressed until then. This may sound simplistic, but had Russia not fallen to Marxism in 1918, Hitler might not have been as roused to stop Germany's growing Marxist movement.

What we have learned and now know to be true is that "any" people will do what they are told to do even if they think it is wrong. The concentration camps of Hitler, the Gulags of Stalin, and the cultural revolution of Mao validate these truths. Stanley Milgram, a Yale psychologist from 1960 to 1963, initiated an experiment to determine if Americans would do what the

German citizens did under Hitler, from the '30s through the end of WW II, when the Third Reich unconditionally surrendered.

The first of several experiments was conducted in New Haven, Connecticut. They were well planned and modified over time by changing the variables to make sure that all options had been considered. The results of these experiments were eventually included in a book in 1974, titled *Obedience to Authority*. The crux is that the Americans were not statistically different than the Germans in the outcomes and, therefore, it could reasonably be stated that if a dictator were to gain control of the American government, that our citizens would act similarly evil. Keep that in mind as you read this book.

Moving forward twenty years from the end of WW II, my story starts with being drafted immediately after my college graduation in 1965. Because of my degree, I was commissioned an officer, 2nd Lieutenant, after a 10-month training period and, after 4 additional months; I was a Special Forces Green Beret. Later in Vietnam, on December 8, 1967, in a fighting position, with two other Green Berets when incoming rounds blew up that position and we were all wounded and sent back to the world to recover.

After recovering, my next assignment was at Ft. Campbell, Kentucky, as the deputy Post G-2 and promoted to Captain with a Top Secret clearance, serving there until September 1969. This is relevant only because of the units and times that became infamous, the Vietnam War and the beginning of the culture wars.

When I returned to civilian life, I spent ten years working in General Electric's management program, then another 10 years in a couple of other business and then, now with an EMBA, self-employed as a freelance engineer and management consultant. During this Twenty-year period, several things happened that would spur me down the path that led me to writing about this subject.

In my first book, I wrote of my experiences in Vietnam in the mid '90s, since at that point, many of the secret documents had been declassified and

books had been written about the war. That meant there was much to learn. Of particular interest were, *A Grand Delusion*, by Robert Mann; *The Best and The Brightest*, by David Halberstam; and *Vietnam Labyrinth*, by Tran Ngoc Chau (published much later). This reading showed me the extent of our government's corruption during the Vietnam War, and I remembered my stint as Deputy Post G-2 and what our government did with the protesters and various Marxist groups that were killing police and building bombs; i.e. the SDS and the Weathermen, to name a few . The Weathermen wrote *Prairie Fire* in 1974, about how they intended to change the country – only slightly more radical than today's environment. These two groups and others were all Marxist factions. As an aside, the summer of 2020 with BLM and ANTIFA was just a replay of that period in the late '60s and early '70s.

In one of his famous short radio talks of 1965, Paul Harvey recited, If I were the Devil, and what he revealed then is happening today, with the only change being that the Progressive Woke Democrats are doing the Devil's job, saving him the effort. The creation of Hate Speech in the '90s was a major clue of what was imminent, because any speech can be hate speech to someone. This was obviously a way to control the speech of the citizens. Back in the day my mom and dad told me “sticks and stones may break my bones but names will never hurt me.”

The penultimate item was the climate change narrative that took off in earnest with Al Gore's book, *An Inconvenient Truth*. From the late '90s to about 2005, my consulting work was in the alternative energy field, primarily because there was concern that we would run out of oil. Since my education included academic and practical experience in both areas, it was a very logical subject to research and study.

The clincher was what turned up in searches over the next five years. It wasn't hard to find that the “real” science revealed that Al Gore had no clue, that there was no anthropogenic climate change, that Bill Gates was involved in it all, and that the Marxists had taken over the environmental movement, ousting one of the honest founders of Green Peace, Patrick

Moore, who now gives presentations on why the IPCC is 100% wrong on climate change. In addition, William Strauss and Neil Howe published their book, *The Fourth Turning*, which showed there was quite another way to view social time. In addition, once I became semi-retired, now there many books to read to catch up on history, including everything from Plato to Sir Roger Penrose; see most of the entire collection at the end of this book.

Putting this altogether from my formal academics, self-taught academics, military experience, work experience, and good old common sense, this is a brief summary. In this book, *The Republic*, Plato developed a very logical transformational process on how the various kinds of governments moved from one to the other in a logical sequence. His ideas were not perfect – none of ours are – but they do generally apply in this instance, as we now seem to be moving at warp speed out of a Democratic Republic into an oligarchy (Big Tech: Google, Face Book and Twitter), which may very quickly end up in fascism.

For the record, there is no meaningful difference between Marxism and Fascism to the common citizen, as in either case, the federal government is a single stand-alone faction, having total control of the citizens; Who owns or controls the means of production is not relevant. Since my undergrad was economics (over 30 semester hours of A), my credentials are sufficient to make this claim.

As this book is being written, the federal government is in league with Big Tech and has, for all practical purposes, neutralized the US Constitution and Bill of Rights. It has used a manufactured virus SARS-CoV-2, created by Bill Gates and Anthony Fauci with their Gain-of-Function work produced in the Wuhan lab in China, to convince a large segment of the public that unless they give up all their freedoms, they will die from the virus. This was based on the fraudulent study paid for by Bill Gates at Imperial University in London which Neil Ferguson's model indicated over two million would die in the US. Ferguson was later fired and his model found to be faulty. The people should heed the words of Benjamin Franklin: "Those who would

give up essential liberties to purchase a little temporary safety, deserve neither Liberty nor Safety.”

If the reader is interested, Hannah Arendt's *The Origins of Totalitarianism* is an engaging academic study of the rise of Hitler in Germany and Stalin in Russia – a must read for those with a serious academic interest in this subject. Mark Levin has apparently read a copy of this book while preparing his new book, “American Marxism.” Arendt's book, written in 1951, contains, in great detail, the methods used by both tyrants to reach the power they sought, making it very clear that we are now on a similar path in America to the ones both despots took to power. We are in the final stages of the “Fundamental Change” that Barack Hussein Obama declared when he first ran for the office of President of the United States in 2008.

Whether Marxism or Fascism, in both political systems, religion takes second place to the state, or is just outright eliminated. Interestingly China, after Mao Zedong's Cultural Revolution failed, is now closer to Fascism than Marxism. In the US today, shutting down all the churches and synagogues during COVID as being non-essential is not permitted by the 1st Amendment – and those who protested were canceled. Free speech was already gone; anything not approved by the powerful in the District of Columbia (DC) was removed from the public square by Big Tech. Those in power in the District of Columbia (DC) included the US Congress, multiple federal departments and agencies, and hundreds of thousands of unionized federal employees – known by many today as the Deep State, which usually gets what it wants. My take on this group tells me to classify the Deep State as a cross between an oligarchy and fascism, but without a strong, defined leader.

The previous Congress had already vastly expanded the power of the Federal government by clever sleight of hand. The Tenth Amendment states the following:

“The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the states, are reserved to the states respectively or to the people.”

So, for example, Congress created the Department of Education in 1979, which developed rules and procedures on how and what to teach, although the rules were unenforceable as law because of the Tenth Amendment. Today, 42 years later, however, the Department of Education controls virtually every university, college, community college, high school, grade school, elementary school, and daycare facility in the country. How is this possible? It is simply because of the Federal Income Tax (Sixteenth Amendment 1913). Congress can take the money from the local communities, run it through the Congress, and then return “some” of it to the local communities – but only if they follow the rules of the Department of Education. Hence, Congress created power where none existed and, worse, where it was expressly outlawed. There are still a few schools left today, such as Hillsdale College, that doesn’t take federal money, but they are probably less than 1% of the total.

After reviewing the periods from the ‘60s to the present and reading two books, the results becomes obvious. I reference the first, *The Fourth Turning*, published in 1997, which explains why social patterns change, and the same kind of pattern is found in Plato’s *The Republic*. Skipping over much detail, they both explained what would happen in post-World War II United States until the end of the 20th century with amazing accuracy through the 2020s.

The second book, published in 1748, is *The Spirit of the Laws* written by Montesquieu, A French philosopher, which I first read in 2015. He writes of many subjects, but one was of particular interest: Part 3, listed as,

“Laws in the relation with the principles forming the general spirit, the mores, and the manners of the nation”

Here, Montesquieu implies that the form of government is directly related to the social structure of the people. Further, to change the form of the government, one must, first, change the spirit, mores, and manner of the people. This is reminiscent of the 1990s, and the culture wars (1984 – 2005), noted in *The Fourth Turning*. Their predictions are evident now.

Over the last hundred years, the federal government has created a plurality of departments and agencies, all with this kind of power not directly authorized by the Constitution or by the Constitution as amended. Simply put political, and economic power was transferred from the states and the citizens to the area around the District of Columbia, in processes described previously. This method is also referred to as the "Administrative State. As power became more and more concentrated, it naturally became more and more corrupt, through greed and the corrupt lobbying process.

Incredible amounts of money flow through the part of the country surrounding the District. With taxes, borrowing and bribes, it will be only a few more years until it reaches \$10 trillion per year. Rounding errors will make people billionaires, and the ability to control that money is what is most important to them. Money is power, power is money, and that is the very reason for their being there.

In DC today, they have created a new Tower of Babel, perhaps not to reach heaven but certainly to forge a city of ultimate power and wealth to become Masters of the Universe.

The desire for power and money destroys the soul and DC is the place where neither soul nor humanity exist, but are replaced by raw greed and lust. This is why they work so hard to eliminate God from the public square.

As I complete this book, Congress, under the progressive elements of the new Democrat party (all Marxists), is putting the finishing touches on several spending bills. Every federal department or agency will now be tasked to operate as the Department of Education does now, meaning the bills will be laws that Congress is powerless to actually write, but if we don't follow them, the Federal Government will cut off all transfer payments to the non-compliant states. If these bills do get passed as I suspect they will, then for all practical purposes, the American experiment in a democratic Republic will cease to exist.

This would mean that the US Constitution and the Bill of Rights would be irrelevant since, if the state takes any federal money, it is then pursuing directives and policies that are clearly not constitutional. By accepting the federal money, the state would be ceding its sovereignty and its right to petition the Supreme Court. The Court would simply judge the state to be voluntarily compliant with these rules and regulations, without obligation to the laws of the federal government.

**The only counter to this 120-year movement
is to turn back to believing that GOD is real ...
and He is waiting!**

Introduction

After finishing the first book, which I began in early 2020, I decided to write another book furthering the development of the proof on the existence of God. This attempt was originally going to be another long and detailed analysis as in my first book. However, after spending a few months writing, I remembered reading the 2015 translation of Aristotle's *Organon*. *Organon* was about the method Aristotle developed to look at issues and use logic to solve problems. In this new book, I would use Aristotle's method to end the conflict between science and metaphysics once and for all.

The methods for this kind of analysis require that we first define the words we used to make statements and to avoid misunderstanding. With that, principle settled and by defining them here, we can test whether the logic of the properly structured statements can be true or false. This is a process used in epistemology (the theory of knowledge) and ontology (the study of concepts); definitions used here are extremely important to the logic employed and conclusions derived.

Since words have different meanings depending on the period of our history, we also need to differentiate them. Further in this analysis, Darwin and science are interchangeable, the reason being that Darwin's views on evolution are that random changes in the DNA are all that is required to explain life, and that allowed God to be eliminated as the Creator.

We have old and current definitions with a transition in-between. The transition period was between 1860 and 1960 with room for variation. For example, God-O (O for old) would mean the Bible; God-M (M for modified) would mean God-O adjusted from what they thought the world was like 5,000 or 6,000 years ago to what we know of the world now. The amount of knowledge available is critical to all understanding.

There are many examples from Genesis in my first book that may be shown as plausible and mostly set in the period of the Eastern Mediterranean basin flood, when the Malta escarpment was breached. This is important because it is likely that this happened when the eastern

Mediterranean water level was “significantly” lower than it is now so that the breach would have seemed to be a flood of the entire world – and the story of Noah and the Flood in Genesis has historical credibility. The lesson here is that when we look 6,000 years in the past, the physical world was not the same as it is today, and there is opportunity for someone to recreate a time-sensitive Google Earth, to show the earth as it was in the distant past. This would be boon for researching events of thousands of years ago.

After writing this book, I watched a documentary on comets that have hit the earth on a Utube channel called History titled “The cause of the Biblical Great Flood may have been a massive three-mile wide comet that crashed in the Indian Ocean, around 4,800 years ago. It is believed to have decimated over 60% of Earth's population.” That event could clearly have created water level changes, even in the Atlantic, that would have breached the Malta escarpment and the massive rains that followed would have completed the story of the Flood.

Intelligent Design

I would be remiss if I did not raise the subject of Intelligent Design. I know what those who took this path were trying to accomplish, but I think the time for hiding is long past. As a former Green Beret officer, I like to engage the enemy on my terms, not his, and surely not play games. Therefore, I herewith attempt an all-out assault on the No-God factions that have taken hold of our culture and education system in our Republic. I refer not only to the radical left, but to Progressives in general, the entire Democrat party, the media, entertainment, and some RINOs. We can't wait any longer as they have already taken us over the edge into the abyss of darkness.

But I must give credit to those who have worked hard in the Intelligent Design movement, such as Stephen C. Meyer, who has done a yeoman's job in moving the Intelligent Design movement forward, as well as to the Discover Institute, and to Sir Roger Penrose and D.R Stuart Hameroff M.D.

And as a straggler to the movement, only because I didn't know it even existed until a couple of years ago, I apologize for omitting all the others who have been fighting this battle all these years.

In the next session there are a series of paragraphs explain word and concepts use in the analysis. Since the meaning of words can change over time, we must identify those changes. What is used is a – (and a letter).

- M modern**
- G God**
- O Old**
- U Universe**
- L Life**
- I Indian**
- N New**

Definitions of terms used in this paper are in alphabetical order by category

Big Bang-M:

In the 1960s, based on astronomy and science, the theory of the creation of the universe was determined to be the instantaneous creation of an extremely hot ball of energy that contained all the matter and energy that we see in the universe today. It came from nowhere 13.8 billion years ago and exploded into reality, creating our universe. To date, all indications are that this is a plausible theory.

Big Bang-G:

In the beginning (13.8 billion years ago), God created light (the Big Bang) and so our universe was created. The Story of Creation is remarkably close to what we know to be true today with one exception – time frames. Given the knowledge of the people to whom God gave the creation story, making it days instead of billions of years is comprehensible. Adjust the time and the story is reasonable in its sequence of events.

Blueprint-O:

This is a term given to the old, pre-computers method where a drawing was made on a special type of transparent paper. The paper was then put into a machine that used ammonia to transfer the image to another special paper. The line images on the paper were white, unlike the original that were black, and the white paper became a dark blue background. This was how carpenters and others received home-construction information from 1842 to the 1980s, when computers and plotters took over the business. This method is no longer used, but the term “blueprint” remains current.

Blueprint-M:

This is a series of drawings and instructions on how to make something. This normally requires the use of other items that also have blueprints on how to make them, along with additional process instructions, including the

basic raw materials required. The supply chain is the process of moving the items from the bottom to the top level. In today's world, this requires 3-D CAD files (computer aided design) that can be downloaded to NC (numerically controlled) machine tools or 3-D printers to make parts.

Blueprint-U:

A term used to describe the creation of the universe. In the 1950s, there were two theories: one steady state (stable and unchanging), the other either expanding or contracting, which implied a beginning and an end. In 1964 at Bell labs, two scientists discovered a faint background noise coming from outer space, which turned out to be the cosmic residue of the explosion that created the universe 13.8 billion years before. Then other scientists embarked on that information and uncovered the math that showed how this could happen. That was called the Big Bang theory. Once they had the model, they saw that the mathematical model required 25 fundamental dimensionless constants to make our universe, meaning there could be little to no change in the numbers, or the universe would not support life. This created a paradox as the numbers could easily be other numbers than the one that were needed to support life in the universe. This is known as the Fine-Tuned Universe issue. There are two solutions to this problem: One, that there are billions of universes, and we just happen to be in the only one that works, or two, that God created the universe and set the constants to the ones that were needed for life to exist. In other words, there was a blueprint.

Blueprint-L:

A term used to describe the logic and methods embedded in our DNA that allows a human to be created. This is a very complex process that we still don't fully understand and perhaps never will. Consider that there are actually four sets of instructions. The process begins with the fertilized egg. First, the fertilized egg embeds itself in the wall of the uterus and forms a placenta that will contain the baby and protect it while it develops. The placenta will then transfer the raw material, negative energy (food), from the mother to the fertilized egg that is needed to produce a baby. Second, the fertilized egg divides into two, divides again and again, until it creates a baby. Along the way, the divisions, at certain points, change the makeup of

cells into all the actual items in a human, from the eyes to the toenails. Third, when the baby is fully developed, normally 9 months, it is expelled from the uterus along with the placenta. Fourth, over the next dozen or so years, that baby grows into a fully functional adult male or female who is then capable of producing a baby.

Blueprint-G

The process of creating a human baby is well known to science, from the fertilization of the woman's egg by the male's sperm until birth. The basics of that process are described in Blueprint-L. However, one part of the process has been overlooked by science, and that is how the development process is controlled. My theory is as follows.

We know there is a biological digital code, described in the DNA section on the next page, which contains the instructions of all the development. This DNA code instructs the chromosomes to do the following: when to start, what to do, and finally when to stop doing it. The previous Blueprint-L definition gives a quick overview of the process, but what actually controls that process? There are tens of thousands of these instructions running simultaneously. What is needed, using computer terms, is a processor, the CPU, and the operating system that runs the code. The DNA is the code, but something is needed to run the code in the right order and sequence.

I believe that when the blastula transitions into the blastocyst as it enters the uterus it forms two separate areas – the outer shell, or trophoblast, and the interior, which is filled with an amniotic fluid, the blastocoel, and a cell mass that will be the human fetus. The trophoblast contains the processor that controls the growth. The initial process of turning that cell mass into a fetus is called gastrulation where three cell layers are formed – the exterior layer, the ectoderm; the middle layer, the mesoderm, and the interior layer, the endoderm – at which point, we have the fetus.

This entire process is far too complicated to have ever been generated by a series of random events.

Collective Unconscious:

This was a very important find made by CG Jung. It's a somewhat complicated theory, but with much merit. He found that across all races and cultures there were common, deep-rooted characteristics that were similar in all humans. He used art to show the pattern and when you compared eastern work with western work, although they might look different, a closer examination found much in common. Jung's premise was that this could only happen through some kind of racial connection. Besides this quandary, there were other factors that gave additional credibility to Jung's work. It would be inappropriate to sum up decades of his work in a few sentences to justify my interpretation of his accomplishments. However, the changes proposed in this book, if adapted to Quantum mechanics, would validate Jung's work and my interpretations.

Consciousness:

This is the ability to think and make decisions that are not solely driven by bodily needs, but with self-awareness, those needs can be overridden. For example, if you were to look at a series of books on a shelf that you have never read, and you decided to read one, the first act is to scan the information on the covers and the index for details and choose the one that captures your interest. This process is also called Free Will. Another example would be if you needed a cup for your coffee. You open the cupboard and see several cups. If you grabbed the first one, that might be an automatic reflex, not requiring free will. But if you look at the cups and ponder which you'd prefer, that takes free will.

DNA

This is short for Deoxyribonucleic Acid, which is the material in the double helix containing, in a human, 24 chromosomes from the mother, and 24 chromosomes from the father. Note some writings on this issue do not count the gender-determining chromosomes, meaning they only consider 23 for both the man and woman. The double helix contains two sets of all the information needed to produce an adult human. DNA is the instruction set in the Blueprint-G, a digital code that, once started, will make the baby.

The DNA is made of two polynucleotide molecules that wrap around each other to form the double helix. Within that we have one phosphate group and one each of the following bases: (A) adenine, (C) cytosine, (G) guanine, and (T) thymine. By stringing together, various combinations may be made of these four nucleotides. For example, below there is a string of letters called a codon (a part of an instruction) in a chromosome that is turned on and off at the appropriate time to create a specific function in the creation of the baby.

TTGATCCAAGTATAAGATAC

After birth, the creation process will be completed through the next 18 to 20 years. Anyone who has ever written code for a complex program will appreciate the detail and complexity of the coding in the DNA.

Darwinism-O:

A word describing the theory of natural selection developed by Charles Darwin in 1859 and published as *On The Origin of Species*. The theory was that all life on Earth came from a common ancient ancestor and developed to where we are today by random changes. He called this Survival of the Fittest.

Darwinism-M:

A word based on Darwinism-O with the modification used to make Darwinism-O work with current knowledge gained over the past 161 years. This work focuses on the theory that random events will cause certain atoms to form clusters that will then be able to duplicate themselves, thereby becoming the first signs of "life." This theory's likelihood has never been scientifically proven.

Entropy:

This is a scientific term describing the laws of thermal dynamics as a fundamental property on the universe, which has never been proven wrong. Living or life is a process of taking in negative energy (Food) and using it to delay final positive entropy. Entropy is the state of the absence of motion or perfect equilibrium – in this context, being dead.

Evolution-O:

This word, in this context, it refers to a random change in a molecule within a gene within a chromosome that will effect a change in the function or purpose of the gene that may or may not be beneficial to the entity to which the DNA belongs. If that change is in the female egg or male sperm, then that change is transferable to future generations. This is the basis of Darwin-M's theory of evolution. The best case is a 50/50 bad or good outcome, but it is more likely 1/10,000 (just a represented number) to the bad side.

Evolution-G:

This word, in this context, it refers to the workings of a Blueprint-G that makes large changes in a molecule within a gene within a chromosome that will effect a major change in the function or purpose of the gene that will be beneficial to the entity to which the DNA belongs. That kind of change will be either in the female egg or male sperm and is transferable to future generations. This does not eliminate the random changes that still do occur in Evolution-O.

Fine-Tuned Universe-G:

After the Big-Bang theory was developed and the scientists created mathematical models for the concept, it became obvious that the fundamental physical constants that were found were exactly the ones needed for life to exist in the universe. There was almost no deviation from these numbers allowed in the models. The existence of GOD-M gives a solution to this issue. Since GOD-M created the universe, he could set the parameters to the right values.

Fine-Tuned Universe-M:

Shortly after the Big Bang theory was developed and the scientists created mathematical models for the concept, it became obvious that the fundamental physical constants discovered were precisely the ones needed for life to exist in the universe. There was almost no deviation from these numbers allowed in the models. Statistically this was not possible, so the solution was that there were billions of universes, and we live in the only one that allows life. This is the multi-universe solution.

First Life:

This would be a series of tens of millions of atoms in the proper sequence, initially forming some kind of virus or RNA-like structure that is that is a precursor to life. Then, through the passage of more time and more random changes that object eventually creates a single living cell. Since it also has to feed, it would almost have to be a kind of plant in nature, using the sun for food. No one has been able to show how this is feasible.

GOD-O:

A word used to define an entity as described in the Christian Bible and Jewish Torah, with all the powers contained therein.

GOD-M:

A word based on GOD-O with the modification of knowledge gained since the original Jewish Torah was written some 5,000 to 6,000 years ago and made part of the Christian Bible as Genesis. This knowledge does not change any of the original core meanings.

GOD-I:

Another word for the extremely complex views found on the Indian sub-continent. It would be almost impossible to develop one summation as many of the views held are direct opposites; i.e., three schools allow for a soul and two schools deny. In general, it seems that their beliefs are more inner focused than ours.

GOD-C:

Another word for what we call God in the Chinese culture, except their word is Tao. According to Jung, that is not right as it would be more correct to mean "itself," which we would have called Natural Philosophy several hundred years ago. This view is more easily understood as cycles, or Yin and Yang (The Fourth Turning), than the casual linear view of Western thought. For sake of consistency, we'll use GOD-C.

GOD-N:

A word based on GOD-M plus GOD-I plus GOD-C. If we assume that there is only one God, then it is one of the three or a combination of the three. Neither of these views is perfect. Since humanity tends to create conflict, if

we combined the three, we would have the western cause-and-effect view of the world, the Chinese view of cycles, and the Indian view of the importance of developing a just inner self.

Gene stability-G:

Stability of the genes and chromosomes are a critical subject to life because of the relatively small number of atoms involved in molecules. A reasonable estimate would be 1 to 3 million atoms, which is far too few to create a stable gene according to the principles of thermodynamics. However, if the gene is of a crystalline-like structure (Blueprint-G), the links between the individual atoms are stronger, hence more stable, especially if the Heitler-London theory is used to calculate the bonds. Quantum Mechanics provides the basis for this method, according to Schrodinger. Without this method (God's plan), random changes in the molecules would occur too frequently to allow life to exist.

Gene Stability-D:

The property of a molecule (gene) is of sufficient size to remain stable for millions of years without too many random events to cause changes to its structure, despite the laws of thermodynamics. This is critical for the existence of life. If it were too stable, there would be no natural selection; if it were pure random, there would be too many variations, resulting in no life. Therefore, there must be a delicate balance.

Heterotrophic theory:

According to Heterotrophic theory, organic compounds were synthesized in the primitive Earth's oceans/atmosphere under prebiotic conditions using ultraviolet rays from the sun or lightning strokes or heat from volcanic events in the ocean. The mixture of water, CO₂, ammonia, and other free atoms under the atmosphere of the primitive Earth is referred to as the prebiotic soup where life originated.

Human:

The most advanced animal on the planet that has amazing abilities starting with the human brain and related sensory inputs that together make an extremely complex organic device using electro-chemical process to

receive process and transmit data. The housing for the brain, our body, is a sophisticated device that cannot be duplicated or fully explained by any mechanical or electronic devices or type of software. The body is capable of generating all its own energy by the oxidation of organic material (food) and capable of repairing most normal damage itself. The body is also capable of reproducing itself. No mechanical devices can come close to performing any of these functions.

Human Brain:

One of the most complex items on the planet and, in all probability, some form of quantum computer. Feeding information to the human brain is the key, and it is the massive sensory inputs that give our brain the ability to create our awareness of our world. In order of basic importance (my opinion), we have vision, hearing, touch, smell, taste, orientation, and the awareness of our body. These are not all the senses, but they are the most important to existing in our reality. For all practical purposes, the human brain is instantaneously capable of projecting into our consciousness a 4-D real-time awareness of ourselves in the entire known universe.

Life-O:

Using today's science a word, using today's physics, to describe being alive and having the ability to move, feed, and reproduce.

Life-M:

Using today's physics, a word to describe being alive and having the ability to move, feed, and reproduce. Using physics, it would be a cluster of atoms combined in a particular order that allowed them to create negative entropy (food).

Random:

The occurrence of some event that is, generally, not predictable. For example, what are the odds of buying one lotto ticket and winning a hundred million dollars? I prefer the following example: we buy two small, identical Lego sets, regardless of theme, open one box, remove all the pieces from a bag or container, and put them, individually, into a bag big enough to hold them all, while keeping track of the number. We follow this

with opening the second box and follow the assembly instructions. Just for discussion, let's assume that assembly takes 25 minutes for a small box of 20 pieces in the bag. How many times would you have to shake and empty the bag, and end up with the completed Lego set assembled? It could easily be 24,329,020,008,176,640,000 or 2.433×10^{19} minutes, which is 20 factorial -- far longer than the age of the universe at only 13.8 billion years – and this with is only 20 pieces!

In the full human genome sequence, there are between 50,000 and 140,000 genes (pieces) in the human chromosomes. How long would it take to randomly create the genome? Further, since genes are made of atoms, the key items, that number could be multiplied by trillions, and it would still be insufficient to guarantee any result.

Self-awareness:

A key ability that a recently born baby will acquire leading to the ability to think and make decisions. Self-awareness is the start of the process. Actually, you need self-awareness – that ability of looking into the mirror and knowing that it is you – before we can be conscious. We first become self-aware around two years old, the age known as the “terrible twos.” It's a major transition from just being to knowing that you are a unique being. A few animals seem to have reactions that indicate they have self-awareness, but humans are the only animals on the planet that have fully developed self-awareness.

Sensory input vision:

Vision is probably the most complex of the senses. There are two eyes, each containing an organic lens to focus the light, an iris to regulate the amount of light coming in, and two types of photon receptors, cones and rods, that convert the light into electrical signals. There are three types of cones, type S for red light, type M for yellow light, and type L for blue light in the main focal point. In addition, there are rods in the peripheral vision that are sensitive to a broader spectrum. The signals from both eyes are sent to the back of the brain and processed into what we see as a single image. The internal image is actually more complex than just colors as the

image is 3-dimensional and sensitive to motion (4-D). In addition, the colors we see do not actually exist in the real world.

Sensory input hearing:

Hearing, like the eyes, also has two inputs, an ear on each side of the head that collects air-pressure differences in the form of waves of different frequencies and amplitudes. The shape of the ear is designed to amplify the frequencies associated with human speech. These waves cause the eardrum to vibrate, which then causes three tiny bones to vibrate, which in turn transmit the vibrations to the fluid in the inner ear. The inner ear has a pathway lined with tiny hairs, each sensitive to a different frequency. These are connected to nerves that transmit signals to the brain. Based on these signals, the brain can hear voice, song, and music in a 4-D mode just like the eyes. Like vision, what the brain presents to us does not actually exist in the real world.

Sensory input touch:

Touch is produced by a series of rapid-acting receptors. The first are called mechanoreceptors, of which there are four different kinds: Merkel's disks near the surface, Meissner's corpuscles that relay information on touch and for the duration of being touched, Ruffini's corpuscles, and Pacinian corpuscles that are deeper and can feel vibrations and the motion of the limbs. Thermoreceptors are sensitive to the temperature of what touches the skin. The cold receptor starts sending a signal when the temperature is less than 95 degrees F., and the hot receptor starts sending a signal when the temperature is above 86 degrees F. The last of these receptors are for pain, mechanical for a cut or scrape, thermal for a burn, and chemical for a poison.

Sensory input orientation:

Balance is the vestibule-ocular system. The vestibular system is located inside the inner ear and is comprised of a complex series of tubes containing a fluid much like a carpenter's level. There are three semicircular canals – the horizontal SCC, the superior SCC, and the posterior SCC; and two otolith organs (sacculle and utricle). The

combination of these sensors allows the person to maintain his/her balance and proper orientation.

Sensory input body:

These are receptors called proprioceptors that are in the muscles, tendons, and joints. They measure changes in muscle length and tension, allowing the person to know what all the various appendages on your body are doing.

Sensory input smell:

This occurs in the nose and mouth. Particles in the air are caught in a mucus membrane where around 400 receptors detect various kinds of materials. The neurons that detect these particles are unique in that one end connects to the outside world and the other end connects directly to the brain. This gives smell the fastest-possible response time of any sense.

Sensory input taste:

Similar to smell, the two often work together. While smell works with airborne particles, taste works with particles in a liquid in the mouth. There are five different tastes: sweet, salty, sour, bitter, and umami (savory). Most of the sensors are on the tongue, but there are some on the roof of the mouth and the back of the throat. The almost-direct connection to the brain allows you to spit out something bad before swallowing.

Soul-O:

A word that has been created in some form in all human societies, that refers to the entity that resides in our brain, and which could be the answer to the hard problem described later. However, the issue is that it requires an unknown process found only in metaphysics or religion. Since Darwin and Marx, science has very strongly denied that a GOD could be the reason; however, they cannot use science to explain our ability to think. Since we know we can move our fingers by thinking, we also know that science is wrong. For kindness' sake, we can say that they just don't know enough to be able to explain the subject. In this paper, we will assume the soul is created by GOD through a process to be explained, using science that is currently available to us.

Soul-M:

Using Jung's work and knowing that information/knowledge can be passed on to future generations through a method not related to genes or chromosomes, or DNA to which Jung had no access. Assuming that GOD-M is the Quantum foam and there is no time in the Quantum foam and that the Penrose/Hameroff quantum brain processing is valid, then there is a reasonable case that this is what we have been seeking.

Modifications to GOD-O and Darwin-O

The Judeo-Christian GOD

GOD-M, A word based on GOD-O with the modification of knowledge derived over the last 300 years or so, but mostly the last 100 years. Three changes are proposed to be scrutinized and adopted here.

One: in Genesis we are told GOD-O created the world in six days and rested on the 7th. In my first book, I reviewed this in some detail, but all we need do is change six days to 13.8 billion years or view one day as 2.3 billion years. The rest is very close to how life really happened on earth. The similarity is far too close to be coincidental. Further, when Genesis was first recorded, was every item written exactly from what GOD-O actually said?

Two: In quantum mechanics, the math tells us there is something at the very base called quantum foam or zero-point energy or several other names that have been given to that “thing” by various scientists. After several years of study at the level of moral philosophy, my theory is that the “thing” is GOD-O Himself; GOD is the quantum foam – the basis of everything that is made, including us. Therefore, if the material, whatever it may be, is GOD, then we are created in GOD’s image.

Three: the last item is my theory is that, at the level where the quantum foam resides, time does not exist. It has been proposed that there is negative time, but zero time or no entropy works better for explaining things that remain unanswered.

With these three simple adjustments made, the existence of GOD gives us the tools to explain virtually all the hard problems that currently exist, and the method used to create life on the planet. That may be hard for some to accept, but Occam’s Razor is hard to beat and frankly, why it is hard to accept. It doesn’t change science, but it does change “known” physics, and it removes the roadblocks to clear thinking. It also goes for the

fundamentalists' religions as well, as it is obvious that the world was not created 6,000 years ago or whatever was calculated. Additionally, not everything in the Bible has to be taken literally, since who even knew EXACTLY what GOD told us thousands of years ago.

GOD didn't create us to be idiots.

The alternative to God

Darwin-O: This was based on Darwin's observations of fossils around the world. With only the knowledge of the day, 1850 to 1860, a reasonable person could conclude as he did – that it was survival of the fittest. Since the publication of Darwin's book, there has been an explosion of knowledge in every field, much of it making his original theory more difficult to accept. Darwin-O is more a bad theory today than it was at its initial development, which resulted in the advancement of the Intelligent Design theory.

These are the main issues or problems, and they are not trivial! They are the reasons for my turning from agnostic to believer.

First, the Cambrian fossils are not possible, using Darwin's theory.

Second, the double helix and DNA are much too complex to have been created through random events.

Third, there have been no successful experiments that created anything even remotely, close to what would be the precursor to the first early living cell, which according to science should be easy.

Fourth, there is no mechanism available, using only presently known science, that would make it possible for us to have free will.

Fifth, advanced mathematics and statistics have been made easier with computers, allowing for faster calculating probabilities. The result is that we know that not enough time has passed for all these "random" changes to have resulted in the outcome we have.

Fred Hoyle published his book "Mathematics of Evolution," in 1999 and, like Schrodinger; he was a physicist and mathematician. The following is the final paragraph on page 20 of his book:

“Two points of principle are worth emphasis. The first is that the usually supported logical inevitability of the theory of evolution by natural selection is quite incorrect. There is no inevitability, just the reverse. It is only when the present asexual model is changed to the sophisticated model of sexual reproduction accompanied by crossover that the theory can be made to work, even in the limited degree to be discussed in Chapter 6. This presents an insuperable problem for the notation that life arose out of an abiological organic soup through the development of a primitive replicating system. A primitive replication system could not have copied itself with anything like the fidelity of present-day systems (on which $\lambda \cong .3$ depends). With only poor copying fidelity, a primitive system could only carry little genetic information without λ becoming unbearably large, and how a primitive system could then improve fidelity and also evolve into a sexual system with cross over beggars the imagination.

The second point is that bacteria break out of a binary fission asexual model on occasion. The device is for a gene to be copied out of the circular DNA structure of a bacterium, for the separated gene known as a plasmid to leave its parent cell, and for it to invade some other bacterium, which need not be of the same species. The invaded bacterium may then insert the incoming plasmid into its own DNA, with the result the usual invariance of asexual lines is broken by a gene passing from one line to another, a process with some of the mathematical aspects

of the crossover process in sexual reproduction. By this means a favorable mutation is not irrevocably tied to all the bad mutations in the cell in which it arises. And by plasmid transfer from one bacterial species to another, individual species are not closed systems. The departure from the neo-Darwinian assumption that species are closed is critical, for in open systems evolution can be made to work as biological evidence suggests that it must work.”

The List of Problems

The before problem

The argument one that asserts GOD created the universe is false, since we can't explain what came before GOD and that nullifies the existence of GOD as the creator. Argument two is that there is no GOD, and that the Big Bang explains where it all started. This is false logic as well, with the same argument used against the Big Bang, since we also can't explain what allowed the Big Bang to happen. This line of thinking can be used against either one; hence, they cancel each other out.

The hard problem

Also referred to as consciousness or free will, is a major issue because the scientists have not found a way to explain it through known science. The core of the problem is how a thought can create a physical movement in the body of any living being, but especially in a human. Animals are dismissed by claiming all their actions are pre-programed and just automatically start with no actual thought. This doesn't seem reasonable to anyone that has owned pets, but we'll skip that and go to the core issue of humans. Since no one even knows how we can be aware, it's understandable that they also can't explain how a human can think about things beyond the core drivers, such as first developed by Maslow. Thus, in a nutshell, if a person decides to write a book on philosophy, one must study the subject, think about the subject, and then, using voice or keyboard, transfer the thoughts to paper or hard drive. That indicates that a thought can cause motion in the body. Using known science, there is no way that can happen. Perhaps the problem is that scientists just don't know enough.

The collective-mind problem

This one is involving the human mind and, to my knowledge, the first one to identify the collective mind was CG Jung, a famous physiologist who was born in 1875 and died in 1961. Among other innovations in physiology,

Jung identified the unconscious energies in the human mind. Jung found common mental themes in every culture, but they were especially strong in Eastern thought. Also, while working with an American woman in Europe, he saw that she had an ability to draw, and he used that to have her create paintings that over time began to resemble those of Eastern cultures. This woman had had no contact with Eastern cultures and Jung did not introduce his thoughts to her. These images are called mandalas.

Jung also had encounters with people who experienced long distance communications between other persons usually related to them but also not nearby, such as knowing someone had died along with similar unexplainable happenings or premonitions. This part is really a subject for a different book, but these happenings seem to be explainable especially with zero time in the quantum foam. Jung wondered if there was some way that these events could be combined. At this time, Jung was also working with Wolfgang Pauli (a troubled soul) who was developing the math and concepts for quantum mechanics as well as co-authoring their book, *The Interpretation of Nature and the Psyche*, published ~1950. Jung's portion of the book was about his newly developed concept, Synchronicity (when multiple unrelated events happen together), which was published separately and later as *Synchronicity* ~1951. Both dates are in question because of translations from German.

The fine-tuned universe problem

This one started shortly after the Big Bang theory was developed, and when the scientists created mathematical models for the concept, it became obvious that the 25 fundamental physical dimensionless constants that were found were precisely the ones that were needed for life to exist in the universe. There was almost no deviation from these numbers allowed in the models. Since statistically this was not possible, one solution was that there were billions of universes, and we live in the only one that allows life. This is the multi-universe solution. No satisfactory, non-theistic solution currently exists.

The hard problem of gravity

This remains a mystery as although we can measure its effect very accurately, it doesn't completely fit into all the other forces and particles that we know. It's an entity in its own right.

Definitions of some of the words used in logic

Deictic: *adj.* Proving an argument directly by the truth of its conclusion, the opposite of elenctic

Didactic: *adj.* Intended to instruct. Teaching or intending to teach a moral lesson.

Elenctic: *adj.* Refuting an argument by proving the falsehood of its conclusion; the opposite of deictic

Occam's razor: Also called Law of Economy, the principle stated by scholastic philosopher William of Ockham (1285–1347/49), that “plurality should not be posited without necessity.” The principle gives precedence to simplicity: of two competing theories, the simpler explanation of an entity is to be preferred.

Paralogism: *n.* An argument violating principles of valid reasoning. A fallacious or illogical argument or conclusion.

Predicate: *v.* To base or proclaim or establish a statement or action.

Prediction: *n.* To proclaim. An act or instance of combining a subject and a predicate, according to rules of syntax, so as to make a statement about something.

Premise; *n.* A statement, a proposition, or helping to support a conclusion.

Syllogism: *n.* A form of deductive reasoning consisting of a major premise, a minor premise, and a conclusion. An argument, the conclusion of which is supported by a major term that is the predicate of the conclusion, and the minor term that is the subject of the conclusion; common to both premises is a term (middle term) that is excluded from the conclusion. A typical form is “All A is C; all B is A; therefore, all B is C.”

Syllogize: *v.* To reason or argue by means of syllogisms.

Synonymous: *adj.* Equivalent in connotation, equivalent in meaning; expressing or implying the same idea.

Definitions of some uncommon words

Negative Entropy

Entropy is a concept in physics that deals with the movement of thermal energy. This movement is well documented and used extensively in physics and engineering. In general, thermal energy moves from a hot state to a cooler state, seeking equilibrium. Insulators slow the movement but can never stop it. Once there is no more thermal energy, there is a state of no motion, and everything is at the same temperature.

Since one cannot stop the movement of thermal energy, at some point in the very distant future, everything will be at the same temperature and there will be no life anywhere.

For life to exist there has to be a source of energy, which Erwin Schrodinger referred to as negative entropy, which in this case is food. If the sun were to go out, we would all die, as there is only a very tiny amount of energy available in the earth's core. The plants would also die if there were no sunlight and carbon dioxide, because both the atmosphere and the oceans would freeze solid.

Quantum Brain

The problem of consciousness is twofold. One, there is no biological method of processing data fast enough for us to be able to be aware of our environment in real time. And two, is how can a thought move matter? There is no answer for this hard problem in conventional science, which means there is something missing in conventional science.

Sir Roger Penrose and Stuart Hameroff, M.D., have developed a concept that seems to allow the brain to function at the quantum level. I will not try to explain that here, but after reading a wealth of technical papers, it seemed very reasonable to me.

Synchronicity

This is a concept of C G Jung developed in the mid-thirties, but it actually started with discussions he had with Albert Einstein in 1912-1913, when he was developing his first theory of relativity. Jung worked with many creative people during his career, as they all had visions that he was able to help them understand.

There are two books with Synchronicity as the subject, and the word itself was created by Jung, namely *The Interpretation of Nature and the Psyche and Synchronicity*. The subject is how to explain events that are not possible using conventional science, yet occur far too often to be just coincidental, random events. For example: on April 1, 1949, Jung has fish for lunch, and someone mentions April fish. That morning he had read an inscription that contained the word pisces. Then in the afternoon, a former patient comes in to show him some pictures of fish she had drawn. That evening, someone showed him embroidery with fish-like monsters on it. The next morning another old patient comes in to tell him that she had a dream last night about a fish that swam toward her and flopped at her feet. At this time, he was studying about the fish symbol in history. Only one of the people mentioned knew that. There are a great many similar cases in his books.

Jung makes an excellent case for this concept of his to be real and I agree with him although I don't matter. If the reader is interested, either of those two books will explain it better than I can.

Collective unconscious

This is another concept of Jung's indirectly related to his concept of synchronicity. As with all of his ideas or concepts, they are well developed in his published works. I have read only a fraction of them, but there is no doubt that they are all well documented and considered.

The collective unconscious is formed by hidden memories of knowledge and imagery that are so important that every person is born with them. Further, they are shared by all human beings regardless of their race, sex, or culture. Normally, an individual will not know that these experiences and images are there, deep in their memories. Jung thought that in moments of crisis the individual's psyche can tap into the collective unconscious. And those ancestral experiences help them with their current needs.

These memories manifested themselves as archetypes – the anima and the animus, with the male having the female anima and the female having the male animus. If these unconscious archetypes became too strong in the individual, that individual would have mental or emotional problems.

For these archetypes to be there, and it seems that they are and appear to be universal, there needs to be a method for them to exist. Jung calls this the collective unconscious, which would have to be a metaphysical concept, meaning not explainable with known science.

Definitions of various kinds of Physics

1687 Newtonian Physics:

Also, known as classical Mechanics, is the first set of rules or laws developed using science that reasonably describes the local universe. It deals with the principles of motion from forces acting on matter and, in particular, that of gravity. Newton's three laws of motion were first compiled in his book, *Philosophiæ Naturalis Principia Mathematica* (*Mathematical Principles of Natural Philosophy*) (1697).

At the turn of the 19th to 20th century, science was faced with monumental challenges and Belgian industrialist Ernest Solvay created what was to be an ongoing discussion group of the world's prominent scientists. The first of these Solvay Conferences was held in Brussels from October 30 to November 3, 1911. In attendance were W. Nernst, M. Brillouin, E. Solvay, H. Lorentz, E. Warburg, J. Perrin, W. Wien, M. Curie, H. Poincare, R. Goldschmidt, M. Plank, H. Rubens, A. Sommerfield, F. Lindemann, M. de Broglie, M. Knudsen, F. Hasenohrl, G. Hostelet, E. Herzen, J. H. Jeans, E. Rutherford, H. Kamerlingh, Onnes, A. Einstein, and P. Langevin. The subject was Radiation and the Quanta.

The Fifth Solvay Conference, conducted between the 19th to the 24th of October, 1927, was on Physics Electrons and Photons and the newly developed quantum mechanics theory. There were 29 attendees, of which 17 would get Nobel prizes for their work. Among them were Albert Einstein, Niels Bohr, Marie Curie, Werner Heisenberg, Paul Dirac, and Erwin Schrodinger. After WW II, few of these conferences ever reached the significance of these early ones with so many great minds in attendance.

1900 to 1920s Einsteinian Physics:

The first set of rules or laws that actually came close to mathematically describing the universe we live in. These were the special and general theory of relativity. The famous equation $E = MC^2$ linking energy and matter was part of these ground-breaking theories.

1920s Quantum Physics:

This is also known as quantum mechanics. This was an extension of all previous work in physics into the world below, now known as particles and sub particles. This required a new kind of math and probably added more problems than it answered.

1920s Quantum foam:

Quantum foam or spacetime foam is the fluctuation of spacetime on very small scales due to the principles of quantum mechanics. The idea was devised by John Wheeler in 1955. There are also related terms that apply to this requirement, including vacuum energy by Lemaitre and quantum fluctuations by Heisenberg. This adjustment was needed to make quantum mechanics work.

1950s Big Bang theory:

This is the theory of how the universe was created 13.8 billion years ago. The theory is not quite completed, as there are still a few unexplained or ad hoc issues that are not fully explained. However, they are required to make the theory work, just as the required initial “inflation” and the dark energy now.

Discussion on Quantum Mechanics

Three of the unexplained situations within quantum mechanics are: one, the double-slit experiment; two, the duality of particles and waves; and three, the need for an observer. These have been around since the 1920s, one hundred years ago. In reviewing quantum mechanics, Erwin Schrodinger's name always comes up; he is known for developing the riddle of Schrodinger's Cat as well as for his book, *What is Life?* which I bought in 2020. A forward by Roger Penrose, dated August 8, 1991, is included in the latest reprint editions of 1992 and 1944. That link led me to books on quantum mechanics written by Penrose. Lastly, I think Schrodinger came close to solving some of these issues, but the time just wasn't right.

For those who don't know Penrose, he is one of the most brilliant physicists alive today, right up there with Stephen Hawking. In my first book, there is a discussion on Sir Roger Penrose who, along with Stuart Hameroff M.D., proposed a credible theory for using quantum mechanics to explain some of the brain functions that allow us to have consciousness. These scientists were also the editors of the recent book, *Consciousness and the Universe Quantum Physics, Evolution, Brain & Mind*, published in 2017, along with Suhhash Kak, Pd.D.

Therefore, after considering the various issues, this is what I propose:

One: What if GOD-M is the quantum foam, also known as spacetime foam, vacuum energy, or quantum fluctuations? If that were true, then we would literally be built from parts of GOD-M and we are, therefore, created in GOD-M's image, since all known particles come from the quantum foam.

Two: Let us assume there is no time in the quantum foam, which solves another set of problems, maybe more. If the entity we call GOD-M is the quantum foam and time does not exist there, then He has always been and can direct the actions in the universe He created anywhere in the process from the distant past to the distant future. This also solves the problem of

the *fine tuning* of the constants that determine the details of the universe. Since GOD-M is the conductor of the creation of the universe, He is setting the rules, Blueprint-G, and making adjustments as required to get the result that He wanted.

There is no reason that GOD-M can't be combined with the science we now know, and as the result of not doing so, we have been blocked from advancing significantly for about one hundred years now. That is not to say advances haven't been made, but nothing like what Einstein or Newton and a few other did. The problem we had was that we dismissed GOD-M because we mistakenly took the Torah and Bible at face value and did not look at it within the framework of knowledge 5,000 to 6,000 years ago. In my first book, the discussion was: when we look at that period of time, the globe was very different. We had just barely come out of the last ice age and the Mediterranean Sea wasn't even there until after the narrow neck at Gibraltar was breached by the rising sea levels and then in Biblical times, the Mata Escapement was breached and that flooded the eastern Mediterranean, containing Greece, Egypt Turkey, and the Levant. That would explain things like the Great Flood in Genesis.

Once we acknowledge that and a few other things discussed in my previous book, we can see that our education system contains faults that prevent us from seeing patterns and/or events in related fields that, when combined, give us the ability to see other truths or facts. There needs to be a way to look at multiple areas and see how something in field A will solve an issue in field Q.

Discussion on Gene Stability

Central to Darwin's theory of natural selection by random changes in the makeup of life was that some process would allow it to happen in a way that would actually work. Now bear in mind that no one knew anything beyond that there were organs in the body of a living being, be it plant or animal. One could have assumed then, for example, that the eyes or fingers just appeared all at once or in just a few steps.

Although the basic cell was known in Darwin's time, it was at a very simple level of only the basic items: cell wall, nucleus, and surrounding plasma-like material. There was no knowledge of DNA and the double helix until a hundred years later, so that his theory of "survival of the fittest" was not based on any understanding of the actual process of life. Now in Darwin's defense, since no one knew the real process in a cell in his day, his assumptions were not unreasonable, even if they were not right.

It was only after Watson and Crick identified the complete package of the double helix and DNA that we could develop an understanding about how the process of life worked. But then the problem of Darwin's theory became obvious, and defenses were developed to keep his theory alive, even when it was becoming apparent by the end of the twentieth century that there existed serious problems.

To my mind, the nail in the coffin of Darwinism was a book, *Mathematics of Evolution*, by Fred Hoyle, first published in 1987, which I read about a dozen years ago. Hoyle was a controversial British astronomer and mathematician for his theory of a steady-state universe and other views. However, he devoted half his book trying to develop a mathematical model of a cell to show how random changes could be passed on to future generations.

At least half the book was advanced math where he showed how he could build a model of a cell and allow for changes over a long period of time. I could follow his logic even when his math was beyond me. By the end of

the book, he was positive that Darwin's original theory Darwin-O and modified to Darwin-M would never work other than perhaps, at best, in the short term over a few centuries, but not how we actually developed over millions of years. In fact, if I read his analysis correctly, the longer-term development as proposed today, Darwin-O or Darwin-M would just not work to create any new species.

Discussion on Psychology

While I was researching my first book, I came upon some of the works of CG Jung. CG Jung was one of the creators of modern in-depth psychology, which seeks to facilitate a conversation by the psychologist with the unconscious energies that move through each of us. He contributed many ideas that continue to be valuable to this day, such as archetypes, persona, shadow, anima and animus, dream interpretation, and the collective unconscious. In particular, two of Jung's books were very important to me in this work.

The first, *The Archetypes and the Collective Unconscious*, first published in 1959, was very good, although it was a summary of all his work going back to 1902. It contained several sections that applied to the subject of my first book as well as of this book.

Of particular interest were the Collective Unconscious interpretations, which can be linked to quantum mechanics, especially if the work of Sir Roger Penrose who, along with Stuart Hameroff M.D, proposed a very credible theory for using quantum mechanics to explain some of the brain functions that remains unexplained by conventional science. This discussion is included in my first book. Also, a quantum brain provides Jung's work with an actual method for the existence of the collective unconscious. And that is a key factor in developing the quantum foam for being timeless and for being GOD.

Jung believed that the collective unconscious contained memories of ancestors that were male and female in nature, and that these collective racial memories made all humans similar in basic beliefs across every society. We are bonded together at a very deep mental level. When those racial memories don't match current views, this can cause distress to those societies' individuals. The reason is that their collective unconscious is telling them that there is a major internal problem. For example being "woke" to day.

We are seeing this today in America, as all the norms of thousands of years of social development (that worked) are being replaced with new views that anything goes. This includes teaching young children that they can actually change their gender. That is, of course, physically impossible since gender is determined solely by DNA and GOD-M. Despite this solid fact today, from Kindergarten on the children in school are being taught that they can be any Gender they desire. Worse yet, once they are brain washed they can then get the medical system to mutilate them into a fake of the opposite gender that they really are. Few if any of these operations work out well.

The Second was *The Interpretation of Nature and the Psyche*, which Jung wrote with another famous physicist, Wolfgang Ernst Pauli (1952). The section written by Jung was called Synchronicity, which is more difficult for most Western philosophers to understand, except for some of the Eastern philosophies, but it fits with the book's focus. The following is Jung's words, page 95:

The causality principle asserts that the connection between cause and effect is a necessary one. The synchronicity principle asserts that the terms of a meaningful coincidence are connected by simultaneity and meaning. So, if we assume that the ESP experiments and numerous other observations are established facts, we must conclude that besides the connection between cause and effect, there is another factor in nature which expresses itself in the arrangement of events and appears to us as meaning. Although meaning is an anthropomorphic interpretation, it nevertheless forms the indispensable criterion of synchronicity. What that factor which appears to us as "meaning" may be in itself we have no possibility of knowing. As an hypothesis however, it is not quite so impossible as may appear at first sight. We must remember that the rationalistic attitude of the West is not the only possible one and is not all-embracing, but in many ways, a prejudice and a bias ought perhaps to be corrected. The very much older civilization of the Chinese has

always thought differently from us in this respect, and we have to go back to Heraclitus if we want to find something similar in our civilization, at least where philosophy is concerned. Only in astrology, alchemy and the mantic procedures do we find no difference of principle between our attitude and the Chinese. That is why Alchemy developed along parallel lines in East and West and why in both ambits it strove towards the same goal with more or less identical ideas.

The Argument

Now we can get to the core. The question that requires a solution is, “Do we have enough knowledge, today, to look at the two competing theories of reality and determine which is the more likely to be true?” It is conceded that there are variations of both views; however, they are so minor that they may be ignored as only odd subsets of the two primary theories. The two primaries are GOD-M and DARWIN-M, and only one of them can be true; the other must be false.

There are many different ways to achieve these kinds of proofs, the method here being a bit different than most others. This method uses a series of linked premises that will go from the beginning of the universe to life on Earth, followed by the creation of humans. The focus of the premises is on the sequence that it all developed from the universe to life on Earth, resulting in “us.” This will be followed by a discussion on the problems in the premises.

Assuming there will be problems, the sequence with the fewest problems, using the principle of Occam’s razor, is the most likely. The other theory, with more problems, just doesn’t work as well and that theory is thereby rejected, the reason being that every step is a requirement – all or nothing. Every step needs an answer to our being here and the beginning.

Since the prevailing view seems to be Darwin-M, we’ll tackle that one first, and then follow with GOD-M.

Darwin-M

Premise One: If “something” is true, then Big Bang-M starts the creation of the universe approximately 13.8 billion years ago and then the Earth 9.26 billion years after the Big Bang. This concept was verified soon after the cosmic background radiation was discovered in 1964.

Premise Two: if Big Bang-M is true, then Darwin-M was started shortly after the Earth was fully formed 4.54 billion years back from present.

Premise Three: If Darwin-M is true, then Heterotrophic theory explains how random encounters over the first 540 million years caused, on the planet Earth, some of the free atoms and molecules in the primordial oceans and atmosphere to link together into the proper sequence to create organic-style chains and, after more time, eventually produced gene-type structures and, finally, a single asexual living cell. However, we have no idea how this can actually happen.

Premise Four: If Heterotrophic theory is true, then Stability-D must also be true to keep the structures and molecules together over long periods without deteriorating. They also can't be too stable, or the needed random changes could not happen fast enough and new versions would not be formed. There is a fine balance.

Premise Five: If Stability-D is true, then DNA is the next step for life to exist and the first of those must come from more and complex random changes. Even simple DNA is very complex, and this would take a very long time. This happened around 4.0 billion years ago.

Premise Six: If DNA is true, then negative entropy (food) must be there. Most likely, it would be initially the sun that gave the energy to the atoms in order to make the necessary atomic bonds. The sun's energy is negative entropy and this occurred around 3.5 billion years ago.

Premise Seven: If negative energy is true, then photosynthesis developed to make plant food and created oxygen. That is a complex chemical process better suited for the land than for water. This transition started about 2.50 billion years ago.

Premise Eight: If photosynthesis is true, then exploding life is possible, quickly leading to a multitude of new versions of life. Darwin found in the Cambrian rock formations in Canada a host of fossils dating back from 485.4 million years ago to 541.0 years ago. In these rock formations, were an incredible number of different kinds of organisms that started the explosion of life on the surface of the Earth.

Premise Nine: If exploding life is true, then extinctions are also possible and that gives us unwanted consequences that can happen, so that over the next 200 million years, there were two major extinctions – first allowing the dinosaurs to dominate the Earth and then eliminating them. However, during this period, large deposits of organic material were deposited to eventually create coal, oil, and gas fields of stored energy on the planet.

Premise Ten: If extinctions are true, then mammals survived the extinctions, and they created the genetic lines that then created the great apes.

Premise Eleven: If it is true that mammals survived, then homos appeared after separating from the great apes 60 million years after the second extinction.

Premise Twelve: If homos are true, then homo sapiens developed, such that by 12,000 years ago, the process was complete as our DNA was now incredibly complex, and we had fully functional brains, with self-awareness.

Premise Thirteen: If homo sapiens are true, then consciousness is the next step in evolution. But we are no different than any other animal; we are all driven by the needs of the body only. Like many other animals that use items to build, say, a nest, we are just more adept at doing that, and we do not have a soul as there is nothing in science that allows for that.

Premise Fourteen: If consciousness is true, then free will should be there as well, which would allow us an abstract, to make decisions and move our bodies as we determine. But according to current science, that is not possible and free will is an illusion.

Premise Fifteen: If free will is not true, then Freud's id rules, and we are ant-like creatures driven by wants and needs beyond our control.

Premise Sixteen: If the Id is true, then GOD does not exist and we are no different than any other animal and there is no soul and no afterlife, so the only thing that matters is what you can get away with and it doesn't matter how many you hurt in the self-fulfilling quest of fame and fortune. There is no price to pay for all the wrong we do in seeking power.

God-M

Premise One: If God-M is true, then Blueprint-U was developed by GOD-M to start the process of creation. Blueprint-U contained all the information to create a physical universe, including fine tuning the 25 dimensionless cosmic constants to create a stable universe, while being compatible with Blueprint-L for creating intelligent life.

Premise Two: If Blueprint-U is true, then Big Bang-G was started by GOD-M as the beginning of the universe approximately 13.8 billion years ago and the Earth 9.26 billion years later. This comports with Fine Tuning-G. This concept was verified soon after the cosmic background radiation was discovered in 1964, and was also in accord with the teaching in Genesis, with the adjustments made in GOD-M.

Premise Three: If Big Bang-G is true, then Blueprint-L started the process to generate life on Earth by giving the land, atmosphere, and the oceans the right mix of atoms and molecules to create life.

Premise Four: If Blueprint-L is true, then heterotrophic theory allowed the free atoms and molecules in the primordial oceans and atmospheres to join these atoms and molecules into organic-style chains.

Premise Five: If heterotrophic theory is true, then Stability-G became active to keep the structures and molecules together over long periods without detreating. With Blueprint-L, there developed a sweet spot that was neither too restrictive nor too loose.

Premise Six: If Stability-G is true, then DNA was the next step for life to exist and the first of those had to come from very complex changes. Blueprint-G guided the process, which began around 4.0 billion years ago.

Premise Seven: If DNA is true, then negative entropy (food) was needed. Most likely, it was the sun's radiation that gave the atoms the energy to make the necessary bonds. This occurred around 3.5 billion years ago, and the Earth was just the right distance from the sun for this to happen.

Premise Eight: If negative entropy is true, photosynthesis was then developed by plants. This created the oxygen required for an animal to live. This is a complex chemical process with the development controlled by Blueprint-G. The plants propagated both on the land and in the water, a transition that started about 2.5 billion years ago.

Premise Nine: If photosynthesis is true, then organic material became a new source of (negative entropy, food) from the large numbers of plants that were created on a barren earth, and life would increase exponentially, leading to a multitude of new versions of life. This was found by Darwin in the Cambrian rock formations in Canada, dating back from 485.4 million years ago to 541.0 years ago.

Premise Ten: If organic material is true, then an explosion of life occurred on the surface. This Cambrian formation contained an incredible number of different kinds of organisms on the surface of the Earth.

Premise Eleven: if this explosion of life is true, then mammals were created at this time. During this 200-million-year period, there were two major extinctions, the first allowing the dinosaurs to dominate the Earth, the second to eliminate them. But mammals were also created, and they survived. However, during this period, very large deposits of organic material were deposited, which then eventually created the coal, oil, and gas fields that would be needed by the future humans.

Premise Twelve: If mammals are true, then Homo sapiens came next. The mammals took over as the primary species and their survival led to the first early Homos' appearance after separating from the great apes 60 million years after the second extinction. Homo sapiens evolved 150,000 later to their current form, with self-awareness, by 12,000 years ago.

Premise Thirteen: If Homo sapiens is true, then consciousness is now built into our DNA with our now-incredibly-complex brain that developed language and abstract thought.

Premise Fourteen: If consciousness is true, then free will is the ultimate gift of GOD-M, which allows us to have an abstract (the Soul), so we may make decisions and move our body as we determine, as planned by GOD-M from the beginning.

Premise Fifteen: If free will is true, then fossil fuel is required if humans are to advance beyond being in a permanent primitive state; GOD-M didn't create us for that. Whatever will be our final source of energy, fossil fuels are the only realistic bridge from using animals or slaves for power to something not yet within our understanding.

Premise Sixteen: If fossil fuels are true, then civilization can flourish and that is where we are now, "if we can keep it." That expression is taken from a quote by Benjamin Franklin.

Discussion of the two alternatives

There is no GOD

Premise One: If “something” is true, then Big Bang-M	False
Premise Two: If Big Bang-M is true, then Darwin-M	Maybe
Premise Three: If Darwin-M is true, then heterotrophic theory	Maybe
Premise Four: If heterotrophic theory is true, then Stability-D	False
Premise Five: If Stability-G is true, then DNA	False
Premise Six: If DNA is true, then negative entropy	True
Premise Seven: If negative energy is true, then photosynthesis	True
Premise Eight: If photosynthesis is true, then exploding life	False
Premise Nine: If exploding life is true, then extinctions	True
Premise Ten: If extinctions are true, then mammals survived	True
Premise Eleven: If mammals are true, then Homos appeared	True
Premise Twelve: If Homos are true, then Homo sapiens	True
Premise Thirteen: If Homo sapiens are true, then consciousness	True
Premise Fourteen: If consciousness is true, then free will	False
Premise Fifteen: If free will is not true, then Freud’s id	False
Premise Sixteen: If Freud’s id is true, then God does not exist	False

There is a GOD

Premise One: If God-M is true, then Blueprint-U	True
Premise Two: If Blueprint-U, is true then Big Bang-G	True
Premise Three: If Big Bang-G is true, then Blueprint-L	True
Premise Four: If Blueprint-L is true, then heterotrophic theory	True
Premise Five: If Heterotrophic theory is true, then Stability-G	True
Premise Six: If Stability-G is true, then DNA	True
Premise Seven: If DNA is true, then negative entropy	True
Premise Eight: If negative energy is true, then photosynthesis	True
Premise Nine: If photosynthesis is true, then organic material	True
Premise Ten: If organic material is true, then explosion of life	True
Premise Eleven: If explosion of life is true, then mammals	True
Premise Twelve: If mammals are true, then Homo sapiens	True
Premise Thirteen: If Homo sapiens are true, then consciousness	True
Premise Fourteen: If consciousness is true, then free will	True
Premise Fifteen: If free will is true, then fossil fuels	True
Premise Sixteen: If fossil fuels are true, then civilization	True

Comparison of the Scores

	True	False	Maybe
Darwin	7	7	2
GOD	16	0	0

The existence of GOD in the quantum foam, where there is no time, is the solution and then God could create a blueprint for the universe and a blueprint for life. That solves all the known problems and answers all the current questions.

Science cannot explain the origin of the Big Bang. Darwin's theory of natural selection cannot explain how random changes could ever have created the human double helix in the 4.0 billion years available and science cannot explain how we can have free will.

Rebuttals to There Not Being a God

The most common counter arguments are variations of these:

Why would God create the Devil?

Why would life be so hard?

The answer to the first is actually very simple. God gave us free will, the ability to make decisions. If there were no bad decisions, there would be no free will. You need to have the choice to do good or evil.

The answer to the second is also simple. If life were easy, there would be no incentive to make improvements. We would never have left paradise.

We were given free will and a world that had promise, if we choose to take that opportunity and make something of it. After centuries of trying, we finally came close, starting in 1776, when we won our independence. Then it was completed 11 years later when the founders created the US Constitution and the Bill of Rights in 1787. This gave us a fresh start on a new continent from all the previous tyrannies in the past.

Was it a perfect start? No, of course not! We were left with one last task to prove ourselves worthy. There was one last test and that was to get rid of the last baggage from the old world, slavery. However, there was a major problem. We needed the Southern states to be able to defeat the British Crown. Without the South, that would never have happened.

Being saddled with the slaves was an impediment to moving forward, and that was removed in our Civil War with the total defeat of the Confederate Army. That was a feat that no other civilization was ever able to do. However, the remains of the old confederacy (Democrats) continued to lurk in the background, plotting their next attempt.

They got it when Karl Marx invented the idea of socialism, aka Marxism, and promoted it for the rest of his life. It was based on the following simple statement “from each according to his ability to each according to his need,” a slogan that the dark side grabbed and ran with. The Marxists infiltrated the Democrat Party and called themselves “progressives,” and by the end of the 20th century, they had taken total control of the party. We can see the results today as they use the SARS-COV-2 to instill fear in us that we are going to die if we don’t do everything that they demand.

Whether the 2020 election was stolen or not, the result is the same and if both the current spending bills pass before the 2022 election, the Republic is finished. The spending will bankrupt us, and the federalizing of the election process will create a country ruled by a single party, which is a tyranny. The Constitution gives the election process of representatives and senators to the states, so this change is problematical and being done for nefarious reasons.

As stated, the purpose of this book is to stop that from happening.

Conclusion

Summary of what apparently happened

The blind faith in the premise in Darwin's 1861 book, *On the Origin of Species*, that natural selection by random changes in the cells was how we developed (I was one of them), and that process was the method used from the first cell to the present-day humans, is, sadly, not in sync with science today. The publication of Darwin's book eventually split natural philosophy into the sciences and metaphysics. Today there are five main categories and 10 subcategories. The split began shortly after Newton's 1687 *Mathematical Principles of Natural Philosophy*, but it should be remembered that Newton considered the relationship he found to be part of GOD-O plan.

Dismantling the field of philosophy made sense back then, as knowledge increased, but it also created problems as each field now stood alone. For example, although Darwin's theory no longer holds up to science today, it is still presented as gospel in the community. Old ideas died hard as they always have throughout history.

I personally think that a review of the processes and methods is now required to bring some logic into the systems as there seems to be no consistent methodology between all the factions in this very important subject.

Returning to the subject, it seems to me that we have a **Blue-pill** verses **Red-pill** situation. We have a split in philosophy that allowed the unproven theory (due to a lack of science in the subject) of Darwin's Survival of the Fittest to become dominant.

There are three major flaws in Darwin's theory as written and modified later, which resulted in the battle between science and theology that began with Darwin as follows:

One: is the creation of the modern view of the universe, which includes special and general relativity, quantum mechanics, The Big Bang, and all the additional work in theoretical physics, the thought of Darwin's time to the present. This work contains the issue of fine tuning the constants that make the Big Bang work.

Two: is the explosion in our knowledge of genetics, especially the discovery of the genetic double helix and DNA, that now shows us the extreme complexity of the cellular structure that created us. This indicates that we need a blueprint.

Three: is the hard problem of consciousness and free will, since there is no existing science to explain that it (we) are even there. If science is correct, we are not here.

Continuing, this is the **Blue-pill** that is the science and, by extension, Darwin, that make the believers think they have an answer or at minimum a very solid case for everything, thereby eliminating the need for God. Science, including the new postmodern economics and new monetary theory (NMT), will take care of us. Not to worry! We have you covered with our vaccines and the Build Back Better program of the World Economic Forum (WEF) of Klaus Schwab.

Then we have the **Red-pill**, which is what if we have when we are lied to and when science doesn't have everything covered. Or worse, this is an attempt to create a socialist-style utopia by the likes of Bill Gates, George Soros, Barack Obama, Klaus Schwab, and their shill man, Tony Fauci. Well, let's look at the data.

First, the creation of the universe as proposed by science has a major hole in it. Where did the stuff come from that exploded and created the Big Bang? They expect us to believe that the entire universe created itself from nothing into an entire universe -- really!

Second, current math and statistical advances, especially in the computer age, show us that it is “impossible” for random changes to produce much of anything useful – especially with the trillions of atoms that are in the human double helix.

Third, I know that I have free will so basically, I don't really care how many uneducated Ph.D.'s tell me that I don't have it. If they can't explain free will, then they should go back to university, and try to find a way that does explain it. Or just open the Bible and read it with the adjustment I recommend.

One choice is to take the **Blue-pill** of fake news, society gone mad, science created from politics based on nothing and the elimination of free speech to prevent the truth from exposure. The other choice is to take the **Red-pill** of theology, updated to the twenty-first century and based on real science (without the opinions of almost anyone in power today who no longer matters), and lastly just good old plain common sense.

There really is no choice but to take the **Red-pill** since, unlike the **Blue-pill**, it gives justification for a break on our politicians. Right now, they can do anything they want without limit. Kill a billion people or more, as Bill Gates wants! Destroy western civilization because Klaus Schwab can't admit he made mistakes setting up the EU, or the American Marxists (half the Boomers) who are forcing an insane change in the American Democratic Republic, turning us into a fascist state so they can feel good after doing all the horrible things they've done to the citizens to satisfy their base desires while growing up.

Final comments

They say with age comes wisdom, which may or may not always be true, but I do know that if you can keep an open mind, keep up with current events, and never think you know everything, then maybe you and I can talk.

That being said, we are now in a perilous place!

Those who wish to rule us and turn us into serfs now have the upper hand, as they succeeded in ousting President Trump by using their SARS-CoV-2 bio-weapon, exaggerating the death count, and claiming there was no prophylactic to prevent hospitalization. Those maximized deaths, and I do not say that lightly. Anyone, including those who were more qualified than the crazed Fauci, who went against that narrative, was canceled. The result was half the population bought that narrative, panicked, and is getting the experimental gene therapy while believing it a vaccine; it may be several years before we know the ugly truth of what has been done. But the battle is never won until victory is declared, the game is over, and the fat lady sings, as Yogi Berra stated years ago.

All is not yet lost!

In the forward were the words “Deep State” and a simple definition. Expanding on that, it is virtually everyone who lives in the District and surrounding counties. This means it is all the Democrats, most of the Republicans, most of the appointed office holders and most of the federal employees who have created the Deep State. They are also in control of the national media, and in bed with Big Tech, and we can’t look to them for help. Although they have the power, the citizens have the numbers, and they can’t arrest all of us.

They are interested in one thing only – that of maintaining their high-paid positions and control of the Federal Government. Being highly paid is a relative term, but even the lowest-level civil servant collects a very good

salary. Those in the elected group can retire as multimillionaires; they have made sure of that.

Now I will be very blunt.

To stop the movement that has now been in a serious push from the '60s (Prairie Fire) to change our form of government to something similar to China's, is going to be extremely hard. To have any chance of survival, the American citizens will have to wake up and reject the government narrative on the SARS-CoV-2, stop taking vaccines, stop wearing masks, and reject the climate emergency that they are likely to declare between the fall of 2021 to the summer of 2022. If the citizens reject all of that and reembrace God, you/we may have a chance, and we will not be alone!

There are now tens of thousands of doctors out there who are doing their best to alert us by putting together a program to counter the official narrative on SARS-COV-2. The message may resemble the previous paragraph, but I added the part about God because this battle is very serious and the forces against us are legion. This is moving a lot faster than I thought possible, and and because of Afghanistan debacle they're speeding up because they know that the masses are now awakening to what this current administration is trying to do to us.

Future Considerations

As I finish this writing, it occurs to me that there is another aspect to reality that needs further serious discussion. In my first book, the logic used was that there were other hidden dimensions within Superstring Theory or M-Theory that could be the place holders for where Jung presumed the collective unconscious could reside. But now, after researching and writing this book, we can say that if GOD is in what we call the quantum foam, then those other dimensions may not be required.

Many years ago, I read Leonard Shlain's book, *Art & Physics*, in which he proposes a concept that certain visionary artists would be the first members of any culture to see the world in a new way. Then, after their works are publicized and within a short time, a physicist or scientist proposes a new theory that then completely changes that view.

Shlain, in his book, first takes the reader through the classical, medieval, and renaissance eras. When we reach the twentieth century and Einstein, Shlain shows how those contemporary artists' works match the physicists' concepts. After reading many of Jung's works, especially the collective unconscious, I remembered Shlain's book and perused it again.

So now, we have Jung, Shlain, possibly Pauli, and Schrodinger who might have bought into what I'll propose next for future work. It's hard to ignore that there appears to be an overall unconscious connection between related and unrelated people. Therefore, the issue is to study how this can happen. Looking at the three main cultures in today's world, we have the following:

In western culture, the focus is on a cause-and-effect outlook;

In Indian culture, the focus is heavily on the inner self;

In Chinese culture, the focus is on cycles (Yin and Yang).

My proposal is that since all three belief structures began to develop around the same time, that this is not a coincidence and maybe God started this process. Therefore, one could say that since all three views appear to belong together, this was what God intended, – he set up the three views, intentionally separated to develop independently, but when the people were ready, they would come to understand that they were not mutually exclusive and belonged together.

I fully appreciate that this is speculation, but they did seem to fit together nicely. Further, if it can be shown that these three views are just different sides of what God wants us to understand, it would surely be nice to merge the three views into one.

To a degree the book *Consciousness and the Universe Quantum Physics, Evolution, Brain & Mind*, edited by Sir Roger Penrose, Stuart Hameroff MD, and Subhash Kak Ph.D., is a tentative step in that direction.

Bibliography

The following books are a small representation of the ones I have read after college from 1965- 2021, that I used to contribute to this work. I have also read extensively on government and systems of governing, war and the theories of war, and psychology. My undergrad was economics, which I have continued. Those subjects are only indirectly related, so they are excluded here. The books listed below are in three categories: government, science, and philosophy, as the information pertains to the subjects in this book. After these books are some representations from the Art category.

Government

Alinsky, Saul D., (1989) *Rules for Radicals*, New York, Vintage Books

Arendt, Hannah (1951) *The Origins of Totalitarianism*, New York, Houghton Mifflin Harcourt Publishing Company

Arkin, w. M. (2013) *American Coup*, New York, Little Brown and Company

Barry, John M., (2004) *The Great Influenza*, New York, Penguin Books

Dohrn, B.& Ayers, B. & Jones, J. & Sojourn C. (Weather Underground) (1974) *Prairie Fire*, San Francisco, Communications Company

Gonzalez, M. (2020) *The Plot to Change America*, New York, Encounter Books

Hampton, S. (2014) *Culturism, USA*, S. H. Production

Machiavelli, N. (1977) *The Prince* translated by Adams R. N. New York, W. W. Norton & Company

Montesquieu (1989) Translation A. M.Cohler, B. C. Miller, H. S. Stone, *The Spirit of the Laws*, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press

Skousen, W. Cleon (2009) *The Five Thousand Year Leap*, Franklin, American Documents Publishing

Mac Donald, Heather (2018) *The Diversity Delusion*, New York, St. Martin Press

Milgram, Stanley (1974) *Obedience to Authority*, Harper & Row

Pillsbury, M. (2016) *The Hundred-Year Marathon*, New York, St. Martin's Griffen

Strauss, W. & Howe, N. (1997) *The Fourth Turning an American Prophecy*, New York, Broadway Books

Schwab, K. & Malleret, T. (2020) *COVID-19 The Great Reset*, Genwva, Forum Publishing

Winston, P. (1977) *Artificial Intelligence*, Menlo Park Addison-Wesley Publishing Company

Wood, Patrick M. (2015) *Technocracy Rising*, Mesa, Coherent Publishing

Science

Callender, C, & Huggett, N. (Ed's) (2001) *Physics Meets Philosophy at the Planck Scale*, Cambridge, Cambridge Press

Bloom, F & Lazerson A. (1988) *Brain, Mind, and Behavior* 2nd Edition, New York, W.H. Freeman and Company

Darwin, C. edited Appleman P. (1970, 1979, 2991) *Darwin*, New York, W.W. Norton & Company

Easterbrook, D. (2011) *Evidence-Based Climate Science Data Opposing CO2 Emissions as the Primary Source of Global Warming*, Oxford, Elsevier

Grinker R. (edited) *Toward A Unified Theory of Human Behavior*, New York, Basic Books

Herrnstein, R & Murray, C. (1994) *The Bell Curve Intelligence and Class Structure in American Life*, New York, Free Press Paperbacks

Hoyle, F. (1987, 1999) *Mathematics of Evolution*, Memphis, Acorn Enterprises LLC

Meyer, S. (2009) *Signature in the Cell*, New York, HarperCollins

Meyer, S. (2013) *Darwin's Doubt*, New York, HarperCollins

Meyer, S. (2021) *Return of the GOD Hypothesis*, New York, HarperCollins

Moor, P. (2020) *Confessions of a Greenpeace Dropout*, Vancouver, Beatty Street Publishing

Pansini, A. (2004) *Transmission Line Reliability and Security*, Liburn The Fairmont Press Inc.

Penrose, R. (1989, 1999, 2016). *The Emperor's New Mind*, Oxford: University Press.

- Penrose, R. (1994). *Shadows of the Mind*, Oxford: University Press.
- Penrose, R. & Hameroff, S. & Kak, S. Editors (2017) *Consciousness and the Universe Quantum Physics, Evolution, Brain & Mind*, Cambridge (MA), Cosmology Science Publishers
- Millers D. (2010) *How Our Brain Works*, Bloomington, iUniverse
- Satel, S. & Lilienfeld, S. (2013) *Brainwashed The Seductive Appel of Mindless Neuroscience* Ney York Basic Books
- Satsangi, P, & Hameroff, S. (Ed's) (2016) *Consciousness Integrating Eastern and Western Perspective*, New Delhi, New Age Books
- Schrodinger, E. (1967) *What is Life*, United Kingdom, Cambridge University Press
- Silk,J. (2001) *The Big Bang Third Edition*, New York, W H. Freeman and Company
- Tusznski, J. (Ed.) (2006) *The Emerging Physics of Consciousness*, Berlin, Springer
- Ward, K. (2010) *More Than matter*, Oxford, Lion Hudson

Philosophy

Berlinski, D. (2008) *The Devil's Delusion*, New York, Crown Forum

Cahn, M. (2002), *Classics of Political and Moral Philosophy*, New York, Oxford University Press

Cahn, M. (2012), *Classics of Political and Moral Philosophy* second edition, New York, Oxford University Press

Carroll, S. (2016) *The Big Picture On The Origion of Life, Meaning, and the Universe itself*, New York, Dutton

Capra, F. (1982) *The Turning Point Science, Society and the Rising Culture*, New York, A Bantam Book

Davies, P. (1983) *God & The New Physics*, New York, Touchstone

Gardner, M. (1983) *The Ways of a Philosophical Scriver*, New York, Quill

Grof, S. & Bennett, H. (1993) *The Holotropic Mind The Three Levels of Human Consciousness and how they Shape our Lives*, New York, HapperCollins

Huxley, A. (1992) *The divine Within Selected Writings on Enlightenment*, New York, Harper Perennial

Huxley, A. (1945) *The Perennial Philosophy*, New York, Harper & Brothers Publishers

Jung, C. G. (1968) *The Archetypes and Collective Unconscious*, New York Princeton Press

Jung, C. G. (1979) *Aion Researches Into The Phenomenology of the Self*, New York Princeton Press

Jung, C. G. (1990) *Symbols of Transformation*, New York Princeton Press

Jung, C.G (1969) *Synchronicity*, New York Princeton Press

Jung, C. G. & Pauli, W. E. (1950, 1952) *The Interpretation of Nature and the Psyche* Bronx, Ishi Press International

Jung, C. G. (1964) *Man and His Symbols*, New York, Dell Publishing Company

Jung, C. G. (2006) , *The Understanding of Self The Dilemma of the Individual in Modern Society*, New York, Penguin Group

Maslow, A. (2011) *Toward a Psychology of Being*, Mansfield Center, Martino Publishing

Margenau, H. (1950) *Physical Reality A Philosophy of Modern Physics*, New York, McGraw-Hill Book Company

McGinn, C. (1999) *The Mysterious Flame*, New York, Basic Books

Miller, G. (2000) *The Mating Mind How Sexual Choice Shaped the Evolution of Human Nature*, New York, Doubleday

Nagel, T, (2012) *Mind and Cosmos*, Oxford: University Press.

Nietzsche, F. Translation Hollingdale (1961) *Thus Spoke Zarathustra*, London, Penguin Books

Parmelee, M. (1960) *The History of Modern Culture*, New York, Philosophical Library

Popper, K. (2014) *The logic of Scientific Discovery*, Mansfield Centre, Martino Publishing

Schwartz, B., (2005) *The Paradox of Choice Why More is Less*, New York, Happer Collins Publishers

Searle, J. (1995) *The Construction of Social Reality*, New Your, The Free Press

Shlain, L. (1991) *Art & Physics*, New York, William Marrow and Company

Solomon, R. (edited) (1974) *Existentialism*, New York, The Modern Library

Strauss L. & Cropsey J., (1987) *History of Political Philosophy* Chicago, The University of Chicago Press

Skousen, W. C., (209), *The Five Thousand Year Leap*, 30th anniversary Edition, Franklin TN., American Documents Publishing LLC

Wilson, E. (1999) *Consilience The Unity of Knowledge*, New York, Vintage Books, New York, Basic Books

Artistic examples

These historic books have fictional stories that depict current changes in our society and government that are not good and the movie with the danger of thinking you are a God.

Books

Heinlein, R., (1959), *Starship Trooper*, New York, G. P. Putnam's Sons

Huxley, A., (1931), *Brave New World*, London, Chatto & Windus

Orwell, G. (1949), *1984*, New York, Harcourt Publishing

Orwell, G. (1945), *Animal Farm*, New York, Harcourt Publishing

Rand, A., (1957), *Atlas Shrugged*, New York, Random House

Rand, A., (1943), *The Fountainhead*, New York, Bobs-Merrill Company

Vonnegut, K., (1961), *Harrison Bergeron*, New York, The Magazine of Fantasy and Science Fiction

Movie

Block, I., & Adler, A., (1956), *Forbidden Planet*, Hollywood, Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer

