A Plan on How to Take Back the Congress and the Presidency

Introduction

The goals in the discussion, that follows this intro, are that we must find a way using legal means to defeat and change the existing compromised political systems now deeply embedded in Washington. In my opinion, the only way this can be accomplished is to create a new political party. By doing this we then have the right to discuss the changes openly and raise money to do so. Our main goal or purpose is to avoid at all costs a second civil war which now seems almost certain from the direction the existing politicians from both parties have put us on.

There is little doubt that both US political parties are pushing agendas that will result in a single party system which could be either Fascism or Marxism deepening on who owns or controls the means of production. They are not far right and far left there is little to no meaningful difference between them! They both want total control of the country. It's the only rational conclusion one can come to after a serious review of the trends in the power being moved from the citizens and the states to the federal government.

The real difference in "power" can be found on the power spectrum there are Fascism & Marxism (total power) on the far left side and on the far right side is Anarchy (no power). The US Constitution was designed to place us in the middle between the two extremes (the sweet spot). This can be found in the book the *Five Thousand Year Leap* written by W. Cleon Skousen. The founders believed they had found a way to lock it in place with the separation of powers. That is what made the Constitution so great.

Saving the Republic

Operating plan Part One, Background

The Republic is under attack by both internal and external factions who wish to install themselves as absolute rulers of the United States. These kind of movements such as we see today, in our country, are and always have been a part of all societies since the beginning of civilizations as men can be corrupted and many always have been. The purpose of the US Constitution ratified on September 17, 1787 was to try and find a way to create a governmental system that would prevent the dark side in all of us from gaining too much power. The citizens that fought in the revolutionary war and created the Constitution only wanted to live their lives in freedom and peace. That system worked, reasonably well, until we started to tinker with the core principles in the Constitution; in the early twentieth century, especially with the ratification of the 16th and 17th Amendments.

Today we are being taught, by the American Marxist, that the founding fathers were actually evil to the core and only rebelled from the British crown to establish themselves as absolute rulers here in the new world so they could perpetuate slavery. The 1619 Project, Critical theory, and Critical race theory are the primary tools of these Marxist being used in our public and private schools to promote the movement to cancel the US Constitution which is blocking their takeover of the country.

The current goal of this progressive green movement is to create dissent between the citizens with the creation of different groups i.e. BLM, Antifa, and Defund the police movement such that we end up fighting each other. This is just a variation of Marxist class warfare --- creating an excuse for those that want to rule us to step in and address the conflict, wokeness, and hatred that we are now experiencing and that they created. Their immediate goals are, one to eliminate local police and institute a federalized local police system, two demonize anyone that doesn't buy into

their Build Back Better program as Trump White Supremacists and insurrectionists.

There are two basic belief systems in the Republic today; the first one is made up of those that believe in God, Judo Christen principles and minimum government. The other is comprised of those that believe in no God and powerful central governments that will take care of all the people's needs. The former use to be the Republicans and the later always were the Democrats. Unfortunately, today the Republicans have rejoined the Democrats reforming the exact same political system that was in place before the civil war split them. Some today refer to this unholy alliance as the UniParty.

We all know the old saying that power corrupts and absolute power corrupts absolutely and the District of Columbia (DC) is the perfect example. Unimaginable amounts of money flow though that city and the surrounding areas. Those that came there after being elected and those that live and work there are there for only one purpose to siphon off as much of those funds as they can for themselves and their families. All and I mean all, of those that reside in the twisted culture centered in and around the DC area came there mostly from average or a bit above average means and all retire as multi-millionaires with huge pensions and other perks. Even their staff and basic workers have no real needs after retirement from the generous government payrolls and benefits.

The concentration of wealth in a 50 mile radius around Dc is obscene as all they do is govern and that creates no wealth, it just transfer some of it from the citizens to those that govern and their friends. The rest is used to buy votes. Therefore, since no real wealth is created, this process reduces the efficiency of the economy with the misallocation of valuable resources.

This nest of evil that DC has now become, since 1913 (108 years ago), is totally out of control and needs to be RESET. This will require a new set of elected representatives that will be capable of doing what is best for the American citizens that actually worked so hard to create America up through the Kennedy Administration. After his assignation, the politicians

proceeded to make radical changes and virtually none of them made the country better. Most of the departments and agencies of the federal government that were created after 1964 must be eliminated or neutralized as they have created the Administrative state, also now known as the swamp. The rules and regulations that were created by them are used by them now to control all aspects of society and to give those in the federal government well-paying positions and retirement benefits way beyond what the private sector can provide.

How this "control" of the citizens is accomplished is actually absolutely not allowed by the Constitutional and the Bill of Rights, specifically the tenth amendment. Which states ---

The tenth Amendment title, Powers Retained by the states and the people

"The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the states, are reserved to the states respectively, or to the people."

There were no income taxes in the country from the founding until 1913. That was very important to the founders as they understood that there is never enough money. And the individual states are where the people lived and the states could tax. Also, keep in mind that the states created the constitution, and the sates were the entity that governed people not the federal government. The purpose of the federal government was to protect the sates.

The way the UniParty got around this limitation was to create a Department or an Agency, like say the Department of Education which then establishes rules and regulations, e.g. Title IV such as how and what the public schools "should" teach. Notice: these are not actual laws as a law doing this would be unconstitutional by the tenth Amendment.

So if it is not a law than why would the schools have to follow these out right dictates, e.g. the rules that now state a boy that thinks he is a (Trans) girl can compete in girls' sports. Obviously, this means virtually no "real" girls will be able to win with boys/men competing in girls/women's sports.

There are very real physical differences between girls and boys. We now see all the girls' sports records are being obliterated by boys claiming they are girls. This, in essence, eliminates "real" girls from getting scholarships in sports. So it's a very big deal.

How is this even possible? Well, the Sixteenth Amendment approved in 1913 is the reason, as it gave the government the way to get lots of our money though taxation.

The Sixteenth Amendment title, Unapportion Direct Taxation

"The congress shall have the power to lay and collect taxes on incomes, from whatever source derived, without apportionment among the several states, and without regard to any census or enumeration."

Continuing this example, we have the following. The federal government taxes the citizens in a community and then gives some of that money back to that community's school system minus a handling fee. However, to get that money that school system has to follow the rules of the Department of Education, like that Tran's boys must be allowed to complete in girls' sports. So if the school system doesn't follow these rules then they don't get the federal money. The result is that federal government gets to run the local school system even though the Tenth amendments prohibit this from happening. Worse yet, the local community pays for most of the schools costs anyway by property taxes --- but because of the federal rules, the citizens have no real say in how their school is run, this is taxation without representation. That was what created the 1776 Declaration and the revolutionary war.

But this is not the only method being used against us today. Therefore, we now need to identify the forces that wish to destroy the Republic and then Build (it) Back Better as the World Economic (WEF) and Klaus Schwab want, as if that were even possible. We know from Sun Tzu's *Art of War* that one of the most important elements in warfare is that we must understand who the enemy(s) is and what their goals are.

Today there are four factions all trying to take the power away from the citizens of the United States and either create a Fascist /Marxist state or just destroy the country; so either way so they can have their Great Reset or Build Back Better (never defined). Two of them are internal and two are external. The oldest one is the Technocratic movement started in the US in the 1920s believing that that only scientists and engineers are capable of running the world. Next would be China that started the process under Mao Zedong in 1949 shortly after taking over China and now Chinas current leader Xi Jinping is maintaining the original goal of eliminating America by 2049 as a world power. Next is out of the European Union (EU) the World Economic Forum (WEF) started in 1972 by Klaus Schwab who developed the Great Reset and Build Back Better to save the EU from itself. And the last is the worst Bill Gates again in the US when he started the Bill and Melisa Gates foundation in 2000 to eliminate a good portion of the world's population to prevent climate change. Within each faction are many key people like: Tony Fauci, George Soros, and Barack Obama, Nancy Pelosi, Mitch McConnell etc. etc.

The US Congress, both Hose and Senate, are controlled by outside money primarily from those four factions. Now to complicate this even more the Deep State i.e. the swamp and in particular the various intelligence agencies CIA, NSA etc. etc. using their surveillance powers included in patriot Act have acquired immense power. Therefore they have significant leverage over all the politicians; hence their legislation e.g. J Edger Hover on steroids.

The required reforms must address all the above issues.

Operating plan Part Two, Objectives

Corrective Goals

Goal One, reestablish that God is a required element in society to have self-government as only a moral citizenry can have the ability to govern themselves. Without God, there is no good or bad everything is relative. The lack of God gives absolute free rein to the Dictators, Tyrants & Marxists to do anything they want to do i.e. Hitler, Stalin, and Mao. This is why they all work so hard to cancel the people's belief in God so they can then rule those people with an iron fist. If there is nothing after death then what difference does it make what you do in life? All the internal justification you need in your mind is that, what you're doing is to create something better. Or more simply you just want to become a ruler with as much power as you can get. Further, if you believe there is no God what difference does it make how or why you want that power? In addition, if a lot of people have to die for you to get that power why would that matter to you?

Discussion:

The Marxists, in the Democrat party, took over the environmental movement in the 90s and then created the myth of climate change as a wedge to create fear. Then they created the virus (SARS COV-2) and unleashed it on the world to create more fear. If you don't believe that, read the first Chapter of The *Real Anthony Fauci* written by Robert F. Kennedy Jr. And then read the case that was filed on December 6, 2021 in the International Criminal Court located in The Hague, charging Gates, Fauci, Scwab, and many others with crimes against Humanity. In particular, for creating the virus and then preventing proper early treatment that would have saved 70% to 80% of those that died; so they could make a lot of money and kill a lot of people. With the intense fear, established they could then make virtually any changes they wanted.

To get to Marxism you need communism and that comes from socialism which comes from progressivism. The democrat party went from liberal (in name only) to progressive a hundred years ago. They were also the party of slavery, the KKK and Jim Crow. So we know they are not who they claim to be, for the people and Democracy.

True Marxism requires that there cannot be a God and this has now almost been accomplished in America which was started in earnest after WW II.

This destruction of the belief in God is the heart of the current focus on the

"Critical" theories and the canceling of our Constitutional rights being forced on the citizens by the radical Democrats.

Operating plan Part Three, Action plan

Create a corporation to give us legal stating to raise money and give us a way to raise money. This would be to use my proof of God analysis to disprove Darwin's theory evolution. The core of Darwin's theory is that random events in the structure of simple molecules would create life on this planet. Then those same random events would continue and create us over millions of years. With this proof that Darwin was wrong accomplished, we have the means to take down Marxism and reestablish the belief in God.

Objective One, Continue the marketing program of Pastor Jeff Tauring of Liberty Valley Church In Northfield Ohio, with the goal of creating awareness in the pubic of what is coming; as the Marxists, take more and more freedoms away from us. The immediate focus has to be to stop the federal takeover of the federal elections now (January, 2022) being proposed by the administration for if we can't do that we have lost the ability to stop an eventual civil war.

To accomplish this education we must establish a sophisticated marketing plan using the christen churches but I would not expect much support from the Catholics (Marxist Pope), the Orthodox or Progressive Jews to initially work with us as they are way too fixated on their existing internal belief systems.

Objective Two, support Trumps efforts to get as many republicans elected in the November 8, 2022 election as possible, especially the house. If we can get some of our people in that cohort, that will help us after 2022. The end objective is to take control of the congress and the presidency in 2024. And for that, we are going to need a new political party to put new life into the constitution and neutralize all the factions against us.

Objective Three, to pull this off we could use the help of others like Jason Whitlock, Martin Armstrong, Victor Davis Hasson, Sundance (I do not know

his real name yet), Mark Levin, Patrick Woods, Heather Mac Donald, Michael Pillsbury, Charlie Kirk, and Candace Owens. I would like to bring these people in as an Advisory board. They each know a lot about the areas we need to fix.

Objective Four, there isn't enough time to create a new party in time for the 2022 election but it is possible to do so before 2024. If the Republican Party was still viable by then and the new party concentrated on those that wanted to but didn't vote for Trump in 2020 because of all the fake news and miss-information the media put on him this might work. These people are primarily independents and Blue dog Democrats; it might be possible to take enough House seats and Senate seats to prevent either party from gaining control of congress. For example if we could get a minimum of 22 House seats and 4 senate seats in 2024 it might be possible to prevent either the Democrat's or the Old Republican's from controlling either chamber of congress. And with Gods help, this might be possible. I think with the right messaging there would be a greater pick up of votes this way than with just the Republicans alone since they have a tarnished image now. The best possible outcome would be Trump as President, DeSantis as VP and our new party getting say 24 in the house and 6 in the senate; which would give us a lot of leverage. The rest of the members would probably be split more or less evenly between the Democrats and the Old Republicans.

Objective Five, then after the new party and the MAGA party form a coalition and gains defacto control of congress there are a number of things that need to be done. This is the main objective as we need to undo as many of the bad policies as we can so we can reset the existing constitution back to the founding principles.

See Appendix A for a potential problem to this strategy

Operating plan Part Four, After Winning in 2024

The first order of business is:

By executive order, declare a national emergency because of the CCP incursion into the country. Then using the power of the Executive Orders, they will do the following things.

By executive order, purge the education system of teaching of any form of Communism including Critical race theory in all forms and alternative histories such as the 1619 Project.

By executive order, purge the all forms of Wokeness from the government and the military; including a purge of the existing Officer core.

By executive order, secure the southern border and remove "all" illegal aliens from the country. Alternatively allow them to stay but not get citizenship and therefore not be able to vote.

By executive order, ban sanctuary cities and states since they are unconstitutional.

By executive order, Ask for the resignation of all previous Presidential appointee's and require that all elective representatives and senators divest themselves of all connections to China held by them or by any member of their family to include in-laws and cousins. If they don't they have the option to resign or be impeached.

By executive order, ban all Chinses Confucius Institutes from the Country. And direct all universities that they must report all their financial funding for any reason from any source to the IRS or lose their tax exemptions

By executive order, finish the wall and reinstate Trumps policies.

By executive order, reinstate the Trump energy policies.

Ask congress to consider these legislative actions.

One, remove the patriot act in its entirety

Two, Create a department of Questionable foreign Investments and incursions; most of which come from China. The purpose is to purge the

country of foreign investments in universities, government agencies, and corporations especially related to technology. They are also responsible to steeling developed technology, inventions and processes in America. China's goal is "fuxing zhi lu" or in English the 100 year Marathon to restore China to its rightly role as the only world power.

Three, Clean up the campaign finance acts by first removing all prior laws.

Then the following will apply to federal elections. Bundling is out lawed; donations can only be given by a US citizen with a maximum of \$2,000 per citizen per year. Corporations (of any kind) and Unions (of any kind) and associations (of any kinds) are banded from donating any money or time to any campaigns or any other group or corporation. Foreign money or work in kind of any form or kind is banded from all federal elections. Elected officials cannot transfer any residual campaign money to any other source or group for any purpose while they are in office. If when a politician leaves office any funds are still in their re-election campaign fund accounts then those funds will be transferred to a non-political charity or charities of the politicians choosing. This applies to donations from Lobbyists as well.

Lastly Changing the Constitution

Repeal the following amendment to the Constitution the 16 & 17 & 26

And replace with these New Amendments

Proposed amendment 28

The creation of a truly Independent Supreme Court

Popular election of the Judges of the Supreme Court and establish 9 judges as the size. They shall be at least 40 years old and have experience in constitutional law. Judges are retired at 75 years of age. All laws and executive order generated by congress must be approved by the Supreme Court before being sent to the president for signature. Executive orders must also be approved by the Supreme Court as well before being signed.

Proposed amendment 29

Reestablish State control of the Senate

Senators are the past Governor of the state and the second past Secretary of State is the other one. The terms are maintained at 6 years. The multiple terms are thereby limited. House representative are limited to four 2 year terms.

Proposed amendment 30

Voting in Federal elections shall

Federal elections shall be every two years on the first Friday of November. The elections shall be in person on paper ballots, proper ID is required, and you must vote in the precinct you live in. Election Day shall be a national holiday and all companies and organization must give their employees time off to vote. American citizens stationed out of the country will be allowed to vote where they are with paper ballots and proper ID. The votes will be counted in either the local embassy or the deployed military units. Early voting is band as critical events can happen in the last few days of the campaigning that would affect the election results. The times and places for setting up polling places are up to the states. Congressional districts should be as contiguous as possible and based on the 10 year census of US Citizens only. No polling machines shall be connected to the internet by any method during the period one week before the election to two week after the election.

Proposed amendment 31

Funding State and Federal elections

From the previous point 3, the following will apply to federal election. Bundling is out lawed; donations can only be given by a US citizen with a maximum of \$2,000 per citizen per year. Corporations (of any kind) and Unions (of any kind) and associations (of any kinds) are banded from donating any money or time to any campaigns or any other group or corporation. Foreign money or work in kind of any form or kind is banded

from all federal elections. Elected officials cannot transfer any residual campaign money to any other source or group for any purpose while they are in office. If when a politician leaves office any funds are still in their campaign fund accounts those funds will be transferred to a non-political charity or charities of the politicians choosing.

Proposed amendment 32

Update to the first Amendment

Discussion, issues in regard to the first Amendment. Since the constitution was ratified, the means of communicating have expanded geometrically. We still have the printed word but we also now have smart phones, Radio Television and the World Wide Web. Which means that other agencies than the Federal governments have the means to control thought and ideas? Over the past several decades controls on expression of thought have appeared in the private arena that have created the ability for some groups or individuals to block ideas from the public square because they don't approve of them. Most of these are done in the political arena to favor one party over another. Congress has passed laws that favor some and penalize others after these new methods developed. An additional factor has also appeared when "hate speech" was made a crime. There is no doubt that there are hateful words out there. But the issue is there is no way to define what hate is. Almost anything can be disliked by someone. These laws need to be canceled. Further politicians should not be exempted from these laws as they are now.

The laws should be defined that a carrier, one that provides the service shall not be penalized for providing a platform for others to use. However, it is also not their right to determine what is true or not. A person that creates material that is then published by any carrier is the only one responsible for the content. The public can then decide what they want to see or read and believe or not believe it. Today we have partisan "Fact Checkers" and virtual all of them have agendas that make their fact checking meaningless. Further complicating this is that political parties get involved and support the canceling of their opponents' ideas or thoughts through the carriers.

The world today is full of Fake news and miss-information as a result. There was an old idea when I was growing up that, "Sticks and stones will break my bones but words can never hurt me" We need to formulate new laws that support this.

Amendment 32

Clarification on the legislative process

Legislation in Congress cannot be bundled. Each piece of legislation must stand alone and be of one subject. There are no exceptions to this. Also much of our legislation is not written by congress, their written by lobbyists which also donate a lot of money to the politicians to pass their Bills. Legislation then tends to benefit corporations and unions rather than the Citizens.

Amendment 33

An Alternative method of funding the Government

Discussion, funding the Government has always been a source of problems as politicians are always trying to give money away to buy votes. What is proposed here is a major change in the source of the government revenue. Traditionally governments got there funds from: fees, taxes, tariffs, borrowing, and debasement. Today we added to those various forms creation by electronic or digital means.

Governments have always played games with the money supply. The use of silver or gold coins replaced barter many centuries ago but it didn't take long for the governments to start lowering the silver or gold percentage which deflated the real value of the coins making goods more expensive by the amount of the reduction in silver or gold. Once started, this process would eventually make the coinage worthless by inflation and the government would collapse.

When paper money came out it was based on gold being held by the government at a certain percentage of the issued paper money. But that didn't last long and so today's dollars' are worth less and less as more and

more dollars are printed. Today printed just means the electronic transfer of digital dollars from the Treasury to a bank. So what we have today is fiat digital currency with small amounts of paper currency floating around.

The core problem was always was the government always needing more money to buy votes. And there are major problems with doing this as money is spent to buy votes and that means it is often spent on things we don't really need, or it was spent though contracts given to friends that owned or ran companies that were probably, not the best provider.

These systems are inherently unstable and because of the current social programs especially with the Built Back Better programs and the need for massive amounts of money, they have created a new monetary system called New Monetary Theory NMT in the EU, developed by I believe Thierry Malleret a French communist economist. This system is 100% digital with no coins or paper money. Therefore, money can be created in any amount the Government wants and no one has to work.

After the Great Rest and Build Back Better, starts all the citizens will be given a "guaranteed basic income" which means they don't have to work. But then if they don't have to work why would they? Therefore, if no one works, there is no one making anything, and therefore there is nothing available to buy and the system collapses.

The point to this discussion is that all governments have issued money and then debased it to the point that the government collapsed; and it didn't matter the form of the government when this process started. An economics solution to the problem is now presented.

Proposed alternative method of funding a Federal

The following concept was developed in 2015 after many years of thinking about ways to prevent the federal government from over spending as it always led to an eventual collapse. That was why the founders didn't give the new government a way to tax the citizens. The principle used here was taught by my economics professor at Ohio University in one of my advanced Economics courses. If you have and economics system which is

based on the flow of money and you make a change in the way the money flows and the end result is exactly the same. Then it doesn't matter which system you use the end result is the same.

This simulation is based the year 2014 (there is no need to update as the principles are the same for any year) where Federal spending was \$3.2 Trillion from all sources, and 151,012,000 people were working from all sources of employment (assuming 2,000 hour per year per person). That means 302 billion hours worked per year. So dividing \$3.2 T by 302 Billion hours equals \$10.56 per hour worked. So what can we do with that?

Step one; we eliminate ALL personal federal taxes and fees and ALL business taxes and fees as well. The result is that this reduces the governments' income to zero (all borrowing is also eliminated).

Step two; simultaneously we reduce individual pay rates by the exact amount of the taxes they pay. For example if you were making \$25.00 per hour but only taking home \$20.00 per hour the change we make would be that you would now be making \$20.00 per hour but paying no taxes so your take home would be the same as before \$20.00 per hour (no change).

Step three, Businesses would be required to reduce their prices such that their income would be unchanged in a similar manner. So the net economic effect on the economy from this change (initially) would be zero since private and corporate spending would be the same (no change).

Step four, to compensate for this loss of revenue the federal government would be allowed to create fiat money (no real change from what they do now) at the rate of \$10.56 per hour worked by the citizens. And since there would still be 302 billion hours worked by the citizens (no change) they could spend \$3.2 trillion dollars (no change).

Step Five, The result is that there is still the exact same amount of money in the economy in both the current system and the new system. All we did is change the method of how it got from the worker to the government.

Clearly, we have made changes but nothing has changed, we just changed the method of how we got from there to here. In Attachment B, I go into more detail which you can get there. Now why do this well once we know the process we can show the how and the results. It's the how that matters as we can solve the situation we are now in. At least until someone finds a way to subvert it.

The major benefits are:

Number one, the federal government can only spend more money when there are more people working more hours. That is an incentive to promote growth not dependency.

Number two, No one has to worry about paying federal taxes so all purchasing and investment decisions are based on economics not tax avoidance. This makes for a much more efficient economy.

Number three, the federal budget is always in balance. No need to borrow money and this also forces international trade to be in balance since the government doesn't need to borrow from foreigners.

Number four, lower prices for products produced here would make the US more competitive and since the take, home income is the same internal growth would be immediate.

Number five, we end up with a labor based currency which is an improvement over what we have which is debt based. It also takes gold out of the equation except possibly for international trade since the current system we have of pegged rates does not work well. However, that is a different subject for other papers.

Number six there are no downsides other than some federal agencies would no longer be required, such as the IRS and the FED. So actually, the federal government would need a lot less money.

Number seven, in theory there should not be any inflation with this economic system, further when costs dropped there would automatically be more money to spend which should help in making economics adjustment to the economy.

The only issued left is how would this work? The simplest way would be to take over the Federal Reserve and convert it to a private corporation with no connection to the federal government. Directors and Vise Directors will be elected in their districts from recommendations by a selection of private sector groups and they will pick the top three to be voted on by voters. The terms of the directors and vice Directors will be six years and staggered to two per year with an overlap of 3 years between the Director and the Vice Director. This would make 12 directors and 12 Vice Directors.

The FED already collects economic data and banking dada relating to the GDP and money supply. So this proposal, although a change in direction for them, is well within their existing skill set. For simplicity, we have been discussing federal spending. Adding the state and local governments adds complexity but works just as well at the state and local levels. We are not going to consider which department or agencies are going to be cut or eliminated other than there will be no need for the IRS. But again, in this presentation we are not considering any change.

The FED will supply the treasury \$10.56 for every hour worked by the "citizens" in the country, and a similar amount for each of the states and local communities. It is then up to the congress to decide where to spend their allotment. In this case, they would have gotten \$3.2 Trillion dollars and the only way they can get any more money is to aid the private sector to hire people.

I should add here that government workers at all levels will not count in the total except for the military. One last item I'll throw in is that there really should be no public sector unions. There is no incentive not to give the union everything that they want in their contract, even FDR new that, Kennedy made the change.

Once implemented there will be a reduced need for accountants and attorneys dealing in taxes. Offsetting this reduction there will be more need for these people in private business which will experience high growth. It's also reasonable to assume that other not for profits originations will disappear as there are no taxes to write off.

The details are in the copy of the original concept on Appendix B

Appendix A

During the Trump administration, he was under 24/7 assault the entire four years and if you count the before the 2016 election and after the 2020 election its six years. There was no one in DC on his side but he still accomplished a lot with the best economic results and full employment of any President up until the COVID was unleased on him and us.

Then with the COVID ravaging the world and no supplies or treatments he was able to get all the PPE and hospital beds that were needed in record time. But there was more as he then funded the development of the vaccines and got them out by the end of 2021 in record time. Did he get any credit, no not one bit; instead, they impeached him twice and stole the election from him.

Now they are going to try to charge him for instigating the J6 "Insurrection" with the House setting up the "trial" in the spring to damage this work on taking the congress back in November. However, just like the Russia hokes, what happened on January 6, 2021 was a planned inside operation run directly by the FBI, Nancy Pelosi, and Mitch McConnell along with many others in the deep state.

Now here is where we have a problem. But first Trump is totally innocent of all that he was accrued of and is more a more honest person than all the rest of the vipers in congress and the various departments. The issue is that Trump is hanging on to the work he did to get the vaccines out in record time, which was an amazing feat! But it is now his one weakness, because all the bad stuff about what Fauci and the drug cartels did is coming out. Trump not knowing this is defending the vaccines while his base is being decimated by the vaccines. He needs to distance himself from that issue or he will lose his base.

They will start the process of getting rid of Trump probable in April and run it through October blaming Trump for the J6 insurrection, the faulty vaccine, all the COVID deaths, and probably still being in cahoots with Putin.

If that happens, we have no chance to win in the congress in 2022 or win the 2024 election either.

Somehow, we need to get Trump to come out with the information in these two books: first from Scott W, Atlas, MD, and his book *A plague Upon our House* and Second from Robert F. Kennedy Jr. and his book *The Real Anthony Fauci*. The information in those books is devastating against Fauci, Gates Burks and many others.

Appendix B

Proposal for a different method of funding government operations suitable for a technology based economy

This short proof of concept was finalized in 2015 after years of working on better ways to control government spending. Economics is a subject I have the qualifications to write on since I took every economics course offered at Ohio University before graduating in 1965. I had 30 semester hours of A and 3 with a B in economic history only because I mistakenly thought that what could all those, old guys, from almost 200 years ago know about today's world. *The General Theory of employment, Interest and Money* was published in 1935 and written by John Maynard Keynes. Keynesian Economics was the current theory we were all studying back then. I do know better now. After serving in the army in the late 1960's I got into corporate management but always kept up with economics.

During the 90's and into the 00's I started to get some ideas about how to control government spending. And I read a lot more on economics. Which resulted in this idea described in the following discussion?

The following figures are taken directly from the following government reports: Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA) monthly report of the GDP of the United States; Monthly Treasury Statement; the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) monthly employment situation; The Monthly Statement of the Public Debt of the United States and the Department of Defense (DOD) Active Duty Military Strength Report. The Monthly Treasury Statement data is reformatted to calendar year format from the government fiscal year format which runs from October to September so we can compare apples to apples.

First the Facts for 2014:

#1 The federal government currently spends almost \$4.0 trillion a year (\$3.885 trillion) some of which is derived from taxes & fees (\$3.096 Trillion) and some is borrowed (\$789.5 Billion). More on this subject later since the official GDP figures are different; so we use \$3.2 Trillion here instead of the actual \$3.9 Trillion, to be consistent with BEA numbers (explained later). We are assuming that all government spending is Federal here to simplify the discussion.

#2 There are some 151,012,000 people working for a living including ALL categories (the BLS does not count farm, self-employed and the military). This figure is the average for the calendar year 2014.

#3 If we assume there are 2,000 hours worked per year per person that equates to 302 billion hours worked per year. This is the only assumption used here and since many workers are part time this maybe an overstated number. Whether it is or not doesn't matter to the discussion of the concept. It would only matter if implemented.

4 therefore, if we divide the \$3.2 Trillion spent by the Federal government by the 302 billion hours worked by all the citizens, that gives a ratio of \$10.56 of federal spending per hour worked.

Now here is the concept:

The idea is based on an economic principle that my advanced econ professor taught me my senior year at Ohio University which is, just a form of a thought experiment. The principle is that if we make a change and the result of the change shows a result that is the same as before the change, then there was no real change in the output only a change in how we got there. The assumption then is that it makes no difference which method is used.

What follows is based on federal revenue, state and local could also be added to this but that is too complex for this brief overview and it wouldn't make that much difference. This does include "all" revenue going to the federal government no matter the reason or program including social security.

#1 We eliminate ALL personal federal taxes and fees and ALL business taxes and fees as well the result is that this reduces the governments' income to zero (all borrowing is also eliminated).

#2 Simultaneously we reduce individual pay rates by the exact amount of the taxes they pay. For example if you were making \$25.00 per hour but only taking home \$20.00 per hour the change we make would be that you would now be making \$20.00 per hour but paying no taxes so your take home would be the same as before \$20.00 per hour (no change).

#3 Businesses would be required to reduce prices such that their income would be unchanged in a similar manner. So the net economic effect on the economy from this change (initially) would be zero since private and corporate spending would be exactly the same (no change).

#4 To compensate for this loss of revenue the federal government would be allowed to create fiat money (no real change from what they do now) at the rate of \$10.56 per hour worked by the citizens. And since there would still be 302 billion hours worked by the citizens (no change) they could spend \$3.2 trillion dollars (no change).

#5 The result is that there is still the exact same amount of money in the economy in both the current system and the new system. All we did is change the method of how it got from the worker to the government.

Clearly, we have made changes but nothing has changed, we just changed the method of how we got from there to here. Therefore, we are in accordance with the economic principle we started this section with being true. I think you can see the benefits to this kind of system and, of course, the devil is always in the details; however, I believe I have considered most of them and they are not major obstacles. I do agree that this would take a lot of re-education to the public but I think it could be sold especially after 2017 assuming the sovereign debt bubble has burst by then.

The major benefits are:

#1 The federal government can only spend more money when there are more people working more hours. That is an incentive to promote growth not dependency.

#2 No one has to worry about paying federal taxes so all purchasing and investment decisions are based on economics not tax avoidance. This makes for a much more efficient economy.

#3 The federal budget is always in balance. No need to borrow money and this also forces international trade to be in balance since the government doesn't need to borrow from foreigners.

#4 Lower prices for products produced here would make the US more competitive and since the take, home income is the same internal growth would be immediate.

#5 We end up with a labor based currency which is an improvement over what we have which is debt based. It also takes gold out of the equation except possibly for international trade since the current system we have of pegged rates does not work. However, that is a different subject for other papers.

#6 There are no downsides other than some federal agencies that would no longer be required, such as the IRS and the FED. So actually, the federal government would need less money.

The Equations:

The equations shown after this discussion are used in national income accounting to calculate Gross National Product (GDP). To show how this works we present an example using the real numbers for 2014. Again, this is a simple macro model and the details are much more complicated then what is shown here. However, that doesn't matter since the principle is valid and all the details can be worked out.

Note the BEA does not count borrowed money and transfer payments are not shown as growth. The BEA's G also includes state and local spending much of which is transfer payments from the federal government. This means that the BEA figures for "government" used to calculate the GDP are not the same as shown by the United States Treasury for federal spending and borrowing. We will use the BEA figure of \$3.2 Trillion instead of the actual \$3.9 trillion pulled from the economy by the federal government for 2014 in this exercise.

$$GDP = Y = C + I + G + (X - M)$$

$$GDP = Y = $17.7 = $12.1 + $2.9 + $3.2 + ($2.4 - $2.9)$$

Where C (consumption net of taxes CN) can be defined as gross income (Cg) less federal taxes (TF) or CN= CG – TF

Where I (investment net of Federal borrowing or IN) can be defined as gross investment (IG) less federal borrowing (BF) or IN = IG - BF

Where G (government) can then be defined as government taxes (TF) + government borrowing (BF)

X is exports

M is Imports

$$Y = CN + IN + G + (X - M)$$
 or

$$Y = CN + IN + TF + BF + (X - M)$$

After the proposed change

$$CG = CN$$

$$IG = IN$$

G = Hours worked (HW) * \$10.56

$$GDP = Y = CN + IN + G + (X - M)$$

$$GDP = Y = CN + IN + 10.56 * Hw + (X - M)$$

$$GDP = Y = CN + IN + (10.56 * .302) + (X - M)$$

$$GDP = $17.7 = $12.1 + $2.9 + $3.2 + ($2.4 - $2.9)$$

Obviously nothing has changed since in either the old method or the new method The GDP = \$17.7 trillion. Properly packaged, presented, and sold by someone this would solve many of our problems and doesn't hurt either conservative or liberal principles.

Notes and Comments:

Federal Spending is very different from what is generally shown or known, for example: The Monthly Treasury Report for 2014 (adjusted to a calendar year) Shows the Federal Government spent \$3.585 Trillion dollars derived from \$3.096 Trillion from taxes and fees and \$667 billion from borrowing. However, the National Debt during the same period went up by \$789 Billion so there was additional cash needed for changes in payables and obligations and capital projects of \$122 Billion. Therefore, the federal government actually spent/used \$3.885 Trillion in 2014 or 21.95% of the GDP.

Also as previously mentioned transfer payments to the states and cities, i.e. block grants do not show as being Federal spending in GDP analysis. That is unfortunate since the federal government has strings attached which give them control of the money and that will get much worse after 2016 when the full force of the Affordable Health Care Act goes into effect.

The purpose of the quick review of my idea is to show that economically and monetarily this system works. This idea works because economics is about what motivates people. In one sense Karl Marx was right labor is the ultimate source of value, he was wrong in how to use that principle and that wrongness has led to much suffering in the world as we tried to absorb his idealist thoughts (socialism) into the real world.

This proposed system is a method of merging both Adam Smith and Karl Marx while rejecting John Maynard Keynes completely.

David J. Pristash, Independent Researcher BBA, EMBA, Graduate GE management program Captain US ARMY 18A (WIA Retired), Seven issued patents Member Beta Gamma Sigma Blog http://centinel2012.com/